Changes in acreage suggested by the engineer were:
One or two persons attending felt the clean out should include the extension to Wyandotte Road which is almost a mile long into the Beeler property but after discussion of additional cost all decided if it were necessary later they would petition the Board to make the extension a part of the legal drain.

No objections were filed against the $1.00 per acre assessment so upon motion by Edward Shaw, seconded by Dale Remaly the Board so moved to establish a $1.00 per acre maintenance fund.

10:30 a.m. Hearing on Ortman-May Ditch

The engineer opened the hearing on the Ortman May ditch by reading his report with recommendations to the Board to abandon the Jacob May ditch and postpone final decision on the William Ortman ditch until John E. Smith Co. had presented their engineer's plans for reconstruction on the part of this ditch that goes through a tract of their ground now being developed into Twyckenham Estates Sub-Division.

Those attending were: J. C. Hilligoss and Robert Tumley for John E. Smith and Louis Thomas for Norfolk and Western Railroad.

Upon motion by Dale Remaly, seconded by Ed Shaw the Board moved to make no decisions until the April meeting. The Board so instructed the Secretary to re-notify those persons on these two ditches of the re-scheduled hearing in April.

11:30 a.m. Hearing on William Stewart Ditch

The engineer opened the hearing of the William Stewart ditch by reading his report on the condition of the ditch and his recommendation.

Those attending were: Maurice Cripe and James C. Buck. Upon request and by recommendation of the engineer the Board changed the acreages of the following: Donald Mullins, one acre was removed and James Bucks, twenty-five acres was reduced to seventeen acres due to the overlap with the Calvin Lesley ditch.

There were no objections to the $1.00 per acre assessment so upon motion by Ed Shaw, seconded by Dale Remaly the maintenance fund of $1.00 per acre was established.

Order & Findings & Certificate of Assessments

After the establishment of maintenance funds on William Stewart and George Ilgenfritz ditches, the Board signed the order andfindings and certificates of assessments for both ditches.

Upon motion by Dale Remaly, seconded by Edward Shaw, the Board ajourned.

Bruce Osborn
Bruce Osborn, Chairman

Dale Remaly
Dale Remaly, Vice Chairman

Edward J. Shaw
Edward Shaw, Board Member

Attest:
Gladys Ridder
Gladys Ridder, Secretary

MINUTES OF THE TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD HELD FEBRUARY 2, 1972.

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board held it's regular monthly meeting on Tuesday, February 2, 1972 at 9:00 o'clock, a.m.

Present at Meeting

Those attending the meeting were: Bruce Osborn, Dale Remaly, Edward Shaw, Claude Acheson (Mr. Acheson attending afternoon session only) Ronald Melichar, Atty., John Garrott, A. D. Ruth, Jr., Larry Clerget, Ken Raines and Gladys Ridder.

Minutes Approved

Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Dale Remaly and made unanimous by Edward Shaw, the minutes of the January 5th, 1972 meeting were approved as read.

Change of Acreage

The Board discussed the inconvenience of people asking for a change in their acreage at the hearings and asked the Engineer to draft a notice to be placed in the envelope at the time the notices of the hearings are sent out reminding all involved to get their diferences taken care of before the hearing!

Ditches Referred

The Board referred the following ditches to the Engineer to prepare for periodic maintenance reports: Orrin Byers, Franklin Reser, J. Kelly O'Neall, Jesse Dickens, Ann Montgomery, Nellie Ball, Peter Rettereth and John A. Kuhn.

9:30 a.m. Hearing on Calvin Lesley Ditch

The hearing on the Calvin Lesley ditch, located in Perry and Washington Township, was opened by the Engineer reading his report and recommendations to the Board.

Those attending were: Myron A & Richard L. Welch, Edwin Napier, Ralph Wise and John Boes, Jr. All in attendance were in favor of establishing a maintenance fund but asked the Board if they would set the amount at 50¢ instead of the proposed $1.00 basing their request on the few repairs that have had to be done in the past several years.

Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Dale Remaly and made unanimous by Edward Shaw the Board so moved to establish a $0.50 mintenance fund. Because the Rettereth vs Clark ditch is a small branch that empties into the Calvin Lesley ditch and the same people are involved on both ditches, the Engineer suggested to the Board that these two ditches

Lewis Clark asked the Engineer to check the acreage in the northeast and a 20A reduction in the South 20.

MINUTES OF THE TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD HELD MARCH 1, 1972.

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board held it's regular monthly meeting on Wednesday, March 1, 1972 at 10:00 o'clock a.m.

Present at Meeting

Those present at the Drainage Board meeting were: Bruce Osborn, Dale Remaly, Edward Shaw Dan Ruth, Frederick Hoffman, John Garrott, Larry Clerget and Gladys Ridder.

Minutes Approved

Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Dale Remaly and made unanimous by Edward Shaw, the minutes of the February 5th, 1972 meeting were approved as read.

Ditches Referred

Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Dale Remaly the Board referred the following ditches to the Engineer to prepare for a maintenance hearing: Amanda A. Kirkpatrick (Jackson Twp.), John C. Amstutz (Perry Twp.), Abe Smith (Perry Twp.), Fannie M. Devault (Jackson Twp.), Thomas Haywood (Jackson Twp.), Alonzo Taylor (Jackson and Wayne Twps.), and James Carter (Washington Twp.).

Twyckenham Estates Information

As John E. Smith's Twyckenham Estates S. D. involves drainage on the Ortman-May legal drain, the way in which they plan to handle the re-routing of this drain is of concern to the Board. Mr. Ruth explained to the Board the plans submitted on this project.

10:30 Hearing on the Franklin Resor Ditch

At 10:30 a.m., theEngineer opened the hearing on the Franklin Resor ditch by reading his report and recommendations to the Board. Those attending this hearing were: Minnie A. Roller, Irene A. Dieter and Eugene Dieter. The Board ask for comments from those attending and Mr. Dieter asked the Board if they would assure him that he would receive some benefits from this fund, that in Clinton County where he had paid into a maintenance fund he had had no repairs made on his ditch even though he had requested some repairs. The Board assured everyone that repairs would be made as requested and as money provided on all ditches in Tippecanoe County. All agreed then that a fund would be of benefit.

Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Dale Remaly and made unanimous by Edward Shaw, the Board established a $1.00 per acre maintenance fund.

11:30 a.m., Hearing on the Jesse Dickens Ditch

At 11:30 a.m., the Engineer opened the hearing on the Jesse Dickens Ditch by reading his report and recommendations to the Board. In attendance was Raymond C. Bender and as his father had a part in the original petition to build this ditch, he had much information for the Board. Although he was in favor of a maintenance fund for the ditch, he felt the drainage area was in error and asked the Engineer if he would come out and take elevations and check same before establishing the fund.
Upon motion by Bruce Osborn and a second from Dale Remaly, the Board decided to continue this hearing until the month of April then re-notify all those in the watershed area.

At 1:30 p.m. Hearing on the Marion Dunkin Ditch

At 1:30 p.m., the Engineer opened the hearing on the Marion Dunkin Ditch by reading his report and recommendations to the Board. Those in attendance were: Lloyd Howey, D. O. Byers, Lou Dunkin, Leonard R. Fouts, Kenneth E. Byers, and George M. Howey. Much discussion followed for many felt this ditch was more in need of reconstruction than in need of repairs. Kenneth Byers said until the ditch is dredged past Ed Layden's or Roy Ford's his water would not get away. Much of the tile is large, 20" to 24", and to replace them would be quite expensive so the suggestion to consider an open ditch was brought before the Board. Mr. Osborn suggested a maintenance fund be established, then try to work out some way to keep the repairs to a minimum until reconstruction could be worked out. Mr. Osborn and Mr. Ruth were to meet with some of those in the watershed area on Tuesday, March 7, 1972 to look over the greatest needs at the present and try to make some decisions as to whether the maintenance fund could possibly handle all their problems. This met with unanimous approval.
Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Dale Remaly and made unanimous by Edward Shaw, the Board established a $0.75 per acre maintenance fund.

2:30 p.m. Hearing on the Orrin Byers Ditch

At 2:30 p.m., the Egnineer opened the hearing on the Orrin Byers Ditch by reading his report and recommendations to the Board. Those in attendance were Lou Dunkin for D. O. Byers, George M. Howey and P. L. Crouse.
My Howey said a maintenance fund was much in need for there were many necessary repairs. Most of the others present favored only a .75 per acre assessment instead of the $1.00 per acre.

After some discussion it was agreed that maybe the $0.75 per acre would be adequate so upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Dale Remaly and made unanimous by Edward Shaw the Board established a $0.75 per acre maintenance fund.

Order and Findings & Certificates of Assessments

After all hearings were over the Board then signed the Order and Findings and Certificate of Assessments on the ditches whose Maintenance Funds had been established.

Upon motion by Dale Remaly, seconded by Edward Shaw, the Board adjourned.

Bruce Osborn
Bruce Osborn, Chairman

Dale Remaly
Dale Remaly, Vice Chairman

Edward J. Shaw
Edward Shaw, Board Member

ATTEST:
Gladys Ridder
Gladys Ridder, Exec. Secretary

Those in attendance were: Joseph E. Fisher and C. Alfred Rush. After a lengthy discussion all agreed that the $0.75 per acre was both fair and adequate.
The motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Edward Shaw was to establish a $0.75 per acre maintenance fund on the John A. Kuhn ditch.

10:30 a.m
James A. Carter Ditch Hearing

The Engineer opened the hearing on the James A. Carter ditch by reading his report and recommendations to the Board. Those in Attendance were: Everett Riffey, representing himself and his father, Fred Anderson representing his sister for the Baker farm, George Burkhalter, Kelly M. Day, and Fred M. Bieber. Those in attendance represented all of the landowners in this watershed. *(Insert below)
All were in agreement that the ditch was of no benefit to any one but the Baker's whose farm contains most of the tile. Upon recommendation to vacate, the Board asked the attorney to prepare a resolution to this affect. Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Dale Remaly and made unanimous by Edward Shaw, the Board vacated the James Carter Ditch.

Informal Board Meeting

Several problems having arisen needed to be discussed by the Engineer and the Board namely: The Hentz or sometimes called the Culver ditch which involves the State Highway, the Engineers discussion with the developers of the Pheasant Run Apartments and their drainage into the already overworked Elliott ditch, the possibility of two new ditches being petitioned for to be made into legal ditches, (1) Wilcox (2) Day. Also the Engineer asked for a resolution to the affect that no bill will be paid or allowed by the Board unless it has been authorized by the Drainage Engineer or the Board.

RESOLUTION

** Below

Be it resolved by the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board that the Jacob May Ditch, located in Wea Township, TippecanoeCounty, Indiana, be, and the same hereby is, vacated.

RESOLUTION

* Above

Be it resolved by the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board that the James Carter Ditch, located in Washington Township, Tippecanoe County, Indiana, be, and the same hereby is, vacated.

11:30 a.m.
Peter Rettereth Ditch Hearing

The Engineer opened the hearing on the Peter Rettereth ditch by reading his report and recommendations. Those attending were: Opal Hatke, Rodney and Florence Davisson.
Some corrections in the old assessment records were in need of change as the SCS office had done some tiling to drain part of this land into Buck Creek. The Davisson property in Section 5 was changed from 141.00 acres to 80 acres and the Marshall Collier land in Section 32 Township 24 Range 3 was changed from 17.34 acres to 15.00 Acres. Upon recommendation of the engineer. The Board made the allowance.
All those present were in favor of the $0.75 per acre assessment proposed by the Engineer. Upon motion by Bruce Osborn, seconded by Edward Shaw the maintenance fund of $0.75 per acre was established.

1:30 p.m.
Amanda Kirkpatrick Ditch Hearing

The Engineer opened the hearing on the Amanda Kirkpatrick Ditch by reading his report and recommendations to the Board. Those present were: Robert W. Stradling whose acreage in the watershed area was corrected because of an overlap. The Stradling property in Section 18 Township 21 Range 5, totals 179.60 with 20 acres that drains to the Kirkpatrick Ditch-33 acres drains to the Dooley Ditch and 126.60 acres drains to the Orrin Byers Ditch. As the records show 129.50 acres in the watershed area of the A. A. Kirkpatrick Ditch the Board lowered the amount of acreage to 20.00 acres.
With no further objections to the $1.00 per acre assessment, the motion was made by Bruce Osborn seconded by Edward Shaw and made unanimous by Dale Remaly to establish an $1.00 per acre maintenance fund.

2:00 p.m.
Jesse Dickens Ditch Hearing

The Engineer opened the hearing on the Jesse Dickens Ditch with reading his report and making his recommendations to the Board. Those in attendance were: Raymond C. Bender and Mrs. W. L. Woodfield. Attention had been brought to the fact that more acreage was in this watershed area than the old records had shown. The Engineer went to the area to take elevations and try to determine if this were so and came back with the recommendation to the Board to add 8.90 acres to the old assessment list. The Purdue Research Foundation for 4.5 acreas and the Trustees of Purdue University for 3.5 acres along with .90 acres of State Highway were added to the list and notified of a continued hearing. With no objections to either the change in acreage or the proposed maintenance assessment, Mr. Bruce Osborn moved to establish a $0.30 per acre assessment. The motion was seconded by Edward Shaw and made unanimous by Dale Remaly.

2:30 p.m.
Ortman-May Ditch Hearing

The Drainage Engineer opened the hearing on the Ortman-May Ditch by reading his report and making his recommendations to the Board. As a large part of this drain no longer exists or functions to any ones satisfaction, he recommended the Jacob May part of this ditch be vacated. The Board then instructed the Attorney to draw up a resolution stating the Jacob May ditch was now vacated. **(Inserted above)
As John E. Smith Enterprise had not completed their study as to how they would re-route the William Ortman ditch through their Twyckenham Estates SubDivision, the Board moved to postpone the maintenance hearing until these plans would be furnished.
The following letter was received from Twyckenham Estates, Inc.:
April 5, 1972

County Board of Commissioners
Tippecanoe County Courthouse
Lafayette, Indiana

Attention: Mr. Dale Remaly, Chairman

Subject: Ortman-May Ditch
Hearing at 2:30 p.m. on April 6, 1972

Dear Mr. Remaly:

We respectfully request permission to postpone the hearing regarding the establishment of a maintenance fund on the Ortman part of the subject ditch until such time as we are able to furnish you with the completed drainage plans for the proposed Twyckenham Estates Subdivision.

Our engineers are presently working on said plans whereby the platted drainage easement will be used to replace the existing ditch.

Regular Meeting
January 8, 1986

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met in regular session on Wednesday, January 8, 1986 at 8:30 A.M. in the Tippecanoe County Office Building, Community Meeting Room, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana.

Chairman Bruce V. Osborn called the meeting to order. Those in attendance were: Bruce V. Osborn Chairman, Eugene R. Moore and Sue W. Scholer, Board Members, Michael J. Spencer Surveyor, Fred Hoffman Drainage Attorney, and Matalyn D. Turner Executive Secretary.

Chairman Osborn turned the meeting over to Attorney Fred Hoffman for the election of officers.
Mr. Hoffman ask for nominations from the floor for President of the Board, Eugene Moore nominated Bruce V. Osborn President of the Board, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, there being no other nominations, Sue Scholer moved the nominations be closed, seconded by Eugene Moore. Mr. Osborn was unanimously elected President of the Drainage Board for 1986.
Bruce Osborn ask for nominations for Vice-President, Sue Shcoler nominated Eugene R. Moore Vice-President, unanimoulsy approved that Eugene Moore serve as Vice President.

January 8, 1986 Regular Meeting Continued

Sue W. Scholer was nominated by acculmation as Secretary of the Board. Sue W. Scholer moved to appoint Maralyn D. Turner Executive Secretary, Mr. Fred Hoffman Drainage Attorney, and George Scholte Drainage Engineer. Unanimously approved by the Board.

986 SSESS- ENTS

1986 ASSESSMENTS:

Fred Hoffman attorney read the list of 1986 Ditch Assessments for approval.
Those to be made active are Charles Daughtery, Thomas Haywood, F.E. Morin, William Walters, Luther Lucas ditch to be assessed two consecutive years (1986&1987). Those that will continue to be active are:Jesse Anderson, E.W. Andrews,Julius Berlovitz, Herman Beutler, Michael Binder, John Blickenstaff, N.W. Box, A.P. Brown, Buck Creek(Carroll County) Orrin Byers, County Farm, Darby Wetherill(Benton County)Marion Dunkin,Christ Fassnacht, Martin Gray, E.F. Haywood, Harrison Meadows,Lewis Jakes, Jenkins, James Kellerman, Frank Kirkpatrick, John A. Kuhns, Calvin, Lesley, Mary McKinney, Wesley Mahin,Samuel Marsh(Montogmery County) J. Kelly O'Neal Emmett Raymon(White County) Arthur Richerd,John Saltzman,Abe Smith,Mary Southworth, William A. Stewart,Gustaval Swanson,Treece Meadows, Lena Wilder,Wilson-Nixon(Fountain County), Simeon Yeager, S.W. Elliott,and Dismal Creek.
Sue W. Scholer moved that the ditch assessment list for 1986 be approved as read, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, Unanimous approval given. A letter to the Auditor with attached list of 1986 Ditch Assessments will be forwarded.

ODRIDGE UTH

WOODRIGE SOUTH

Michael Spencer surveyor, presented the drainage plans for the Woodridge South,at the December 4, 1985 board meeting it was decided that the landowners would take care of the detention basin behind the two lots and they they would check into increasing the release rate from a 10 year storm event to 25 year storm to make the basin smaller. George Schulte has looked at the plans and finds the plans in order, Michael Spencer recommended the board give final approval to the detention area for Woodridge South. Eugene Moore made motion to give final approval to Woodridge South, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, Unanimous approval.

Sue W. Scholer ask the board to review Allen County's proposed section pretaining to Subdivisions in their Drainage Ordinance, the board members agreed to study.

AMES RKPAT- CK CH

JAMES KIRPATRICK DITCH

Need to assess landowners within the James Kirpatrick watershed in order to get back $6,000. 00 spent for the drainage study in 1981, December. State Board of Accounts requested this be done.

AUGHLIN IN CH

MCLAUGHLIN, JOHN DITCH

A letter needs to be sent to Montgomery Countyrequesting total amount of expenses to date on the John McLaughlin ditch so that we can collect our share of expenses in Tippecanoe County.

IOTT CH

ELLIOTT DITCH

A hearing will be set sometime in 1986 for increasing maintenance fund on the Elliott ditch.

There being no further business, meeting adjourned at 8:50 A.M.

Bruce V. Osborn
CHAIRMAN

Sue W. Scholer
BOARD MEMBER

Eugene R. Moore
BOARD MEMBER

ATTEST: Maralyn D. Turner
Maralyn D. Turner, Executive Secretary

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 6, 1988

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, January 6, 1988 in the Community Meeting Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana 47901.

Chairman Bruce Osborn called the meeting to order at 8:30 A.M. with the following being present: Eugene R. Moore and Sue W. Scholer Boardmembers, Michael J. Spencer Surveyor, Mark Houck Drainage Consultant, J. Frederick Hoffman Drainage Attorney, and Maralyn D. Turner Executive Secretary. Others present are on file.

This being the first meeting of the year Chairman Osborn ask Mr. Hoffman to preside over the meeting to conduct the election of officers.

Mr. Hoffman asked for nominations for Chairman, Sue W.Scholer nominated Bruce V. Osborn Chairman, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, there being no other nominations Mr. Osborn was elected Chairman of the Board.

Mr. Hoffman asked for nominations for Vice-Chairman, Sue W. Scholer nominated Eugene R. Moore, seconded by Bruce V. Osborn, there being no further nominations Eugene R. Moore was elected Vice-Chairman of the Board.

Sue W. Scholer moved to appoint J. Frederick Hoffman Drainage Board Attorney, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, unanimous approval.

The Board had agreed to have Mark Houck as Drainage Board Consultant.

Sue W. Scholer moved to appoint Maralyn D. Turner as the Executive Secretary of the Drainage Board, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, unanimous approval.

Mr. Hoffman read the Active Ditches for the year of 1988.
E.W. Andrews, Juluis Berlovitz, Herman Beutler, Michael Binder, John Blickenstaff, N.W. Box, A. P. Brown, Buck Creek (Carroll County) Train Coe, County Farm, Darby Wetherhill (Benton County), Christ Fassnacht, Marion Dunkin, Christ Fassnacht, Issac Gowen (White County) Martin Gray, Thomas Haywood, E.F. Haywood, Harrison Meadows, Lewis Jakes, Jenkins, James Kellerman, Frank Kirkpatrick, John A. Kuhns, Mary McKinney, Wesley Mahin, Samuel Marsh (Montgomery County) F.E. Morin, Hester Motsinger, Audley Oshier, Emmett Raymon (White County) a letter of January 5, 1988 is on file from White County requesting ditch be active, Arthur Rickerd, Abe Smith, Gustavel Swanson, Treece Meadows, Wilson-Nixon (Fountain County) Simeon Yeager, S.W.Elliott, Dismal Creek, and Shawnee Creek.

Ditches which have been Inactive and need to be made active are Jesse Anderson, Dempsey Baker, Floyd Coe, Shawnee Creek.

Inactive ditches John Amstutz, Delphine Anson, Newell Baker, Nellie Ball, A.P. Brown, Alfred Burkhalter, Orrin Byers, Grant Cole, J.A. Cripe, Chas Daughtery, Fannie Devault, Jess Dickens, Thomas Ellis, Martin V. Erwin, Elijah Fugate, Rebecca Grimes, Fred Hafner, E.F.Haywood, George Ilgenfritz, George Inskeep, Eugene Johnson, F.S. Kerschner, Amanda Kirkpatrick, James Kirkpatrick, Calvin Lesley, John McCoy, John McFarland, Absalm Miller, Ann Montgomery, J. Kelly O'Neal, Lane Parker, James Parlon, Calvin Peters, Franklin Resor, Peter Rettereth, Alexander Ross, James Sheperdson, John Saltzman, Ray Skinner, Joseph C. Sterrett, Wm A. Stewart, Alonzo Taylor, Jacob Taylor, John Toohey, John VanNatta, Harrison Wallace, Sussana Walters, William Walters, McDill Waples, J&J Wilson, Franklin Yoe.

Luther Lucas ditch is made inactive and to be combined into the Dismal Creek ditch.

Mr. Osborn asked if first and second alternates could be appointed to be representatives for Tri-County ditches? Mr. Hoffman advised the board to go ahead and appoint them, if this isn't proper action can be taken later. The following representative and alternates were appointed for the following ditches.

Hoffman ditch, Eugene R. Moore, Sue W. Scholer was appointed first alternate and Bruce V. Osborn second alternate.

McLaughlin ditch, Bruce Osborn, Eugene R. Moore first alternate, and second alternate Sue W. Scholer.

Michael stated he had received a letter from Benton County in regards to the Darby Wetherhill ditch and he asked the board to appoint a representative and alternates for this ditch.
Sue W. Scholer is representative, first alternate Eugene R. Moore, second alternate Bruce V. Osborn.

Otterbein Ditch representative will be Sue W. Scholer, first alternate Eugene R. Moore, second alternate Bruce V. Osborn.

Michael asked that the Secretary send letters to each county informing them of the appointments.

Michael Spencer presented a Petition received from Purdue Research Foundation to vacate a portion of the Dempsey Baker Ditch lying south of the north right-of way line of County Road 350 North and lying in the east half of the southeast quarter, Section 1, Township 23 North, Rge 5 West, and the North 50 acres more or less of the West half of

the south west quarter, Section 6, Township 23 North, Range 4 West, all in Wabash Township, Tippecanoe County, Indiana.

Michael stated a hearing date would have to be set when assessment list is received.

Bruce Osborn asked where they were going with the water? Michael stated he felt it was through holding ponds then metered out to the same place it has always gone, Hadley Lake.

Bruce Osborn stated the board has never vacated a portion where it still drains through the existing legal drain. Mr. Hoffman answered no, if they are going to use the drain they can't vacate, if they are not going to use it then it can be vacated. Mr. Hoffman stated there would be a question of taking them out of the Watershed in regards to assessments. They will still have to pay their assessment as they are remaining in the watershed, the Purdue Research should be notified of this. If this is for the upper end this will help. Mark Houck stated there is a problem of metering at the same rate, but it will increase the volume of water going to Hadley Lake. They will have to meet the ordinance.

Many questions need to be answered before action is taken.

VALLEY FORGE

Valley Forge

Michael J. Spencer informed the board that a letter of Credit for $62,000.00 to cover half the cost of installation of the permanent drainage system, this was through Tippecanoe Development Corporation. Roy Prock is new owner of Valley Forge he wants to substitute a new $62,000.00 letter of credit for the other one since he is the new owner. Michael has talked with Mr. Hoffman there will be no problem to do this, accept the construction bond needs to be secured for deposit for Mr. Prock just like originally had been presented by Tippecanoe Development Corporation before the old one can be released and except new one from Mr. Prock. Mr. Hoffman stated they will have to present an agreement along with the Letter of Credit then the other can be released.

MEETING TIME CHANGE

Eugene Moore moved to change meeting time of the Drainage Board from 8:30 A.M. to 9:00 A.M., seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried.

JOHN HOFFMAN DITCH

JOHN HOFFMAN DITCH

Bruce Osborn called the meeting to order at 9:15 A.M.

Tri-County Board representatives are Eugene R. Moore Tippecanoe County, William Lucas Clinton County, and Charles Sutton Carroll County.

Mr. Hoffman conducted election of officers.

William Lucas nominated Eugene R. Moore as Chairman, seconded by Charles Sutton, there being no other nominations Eugene Moore was elected Chairman.

Eugene R. Moore nominated William Lucas as Vice-Chairman, seconded by Charles Sutton, there being no other nominations William Lucas was elected Vice-Chairman.

Eugene R. Moore nominated Maralyn D. Turner as Secretary, seconded by Charles Sutton, there being no other nominations Maralyn D. Turner was elected Secretary.

Mr. Hoffman was chosen to serve as the Attorney for the board when the board was first formed, he will continue to serve.

Mr. Osborn thanked the property owners for coming to this informal meeting. He informed them that nothing would be decided officially, it is an opportunity for the property owner to see what has happened up to this time.

After Michael J. Spencer presents the project questions may be asked.

Michael J. Spencer, surveyor introduced those present Maralyn D. Turner, Secretary, J. Frederick Hoffman Attorney, Sue W. Scholer, Bruce V. Osborn, and Eugene R. Moore Tippecanoe County Commissioners, William Lucas Clinton County Commissioner and Neal Conner Clinton County Surveyor, Grover West Carroll County Surveyor, and Charles Sutton Carroll County Commissioners, and Mark Houck Tippecanoe County Drainage Consultant.

Mr. Spencer presented Construction Estimates in Phases I, Alternate I, Alternate II, Alternate III, and Alternate IV, and Phase II. This estimate was done by Robert Gross engineer with Stewart Kline and Associates.

Mr. Spencer asked for questions.

Bob Power asked if there was tile in there at the present time? Answer yes, Phase I the tile would come out. Alternate I would be to dig the tile out approximately 6" below the existing tile, under Alternate II lowering it 4'. This is to gain grade. The area being discussed on the ditch is at 900 E.

Lola Harner asked how are you digging 4' and stopping at 900 East wouldn't you have to continue on west? Michael answered they would have to continue west of 900 East, this
wouldn't be to far west as the ravine system drops off.

Mr. Power asked if a bridge would have to be put across 900 East? Michael stated they

felt the culvert was the right size and would carry the water, it is just too high.

Mr. Power asked if a tile could be put in without tearing up the road? Michael stated he did not think this could be done without tearing up the road.

Mr. Moore asked how many acres in the watershed? Total acres 2420. There may be a difference of 80 acres, this would be checked.

Mr. Power asked how much is coming out of maintenance fund?
There is no maintenance fund on the ditch at this time,if a tile hole breaks it is up to the landowner to do the repairs.

Jesse Barr asked would the soil change? Answer the dirt will not be changed,just better drainage. Mr. Barr asked if the ditch was going to be the same size at 1025 East. Answer at the road 1025 108" round pipe, two 72" round pipe, two 84" and at 900 East 14'10" X 9'1" structural plate pipe arch.

Neal Dexter asked how much water will come down into Coffee Run ditch. Michael stated the same amount of water would be coming down. Mrs. Harner and Mr. Dexter were concerned about the erosion and damage.

Mr. Hoffman asked if there was a positive outlet. Answer it goes into a ravine system that eventually gets to the Wildcat creek. Mr. Hoffman asked how far from the end of the legal drain to the Wildcat. Answer give or take one and half to two miles.

LaVonne Scheffee had concern of gravel and the culvert being closed shut. Michael stated this is the reason he has pointed out the culvert sizes at the different road crossings.

Elwood Burkle asked that the cost be discussed. Mr. Spencer pointed out that the last page of the estimate summarizes the cost.

Mr. Spencer explained the Indiana Drainage Codes to the landowners. The decision is made by the property owners.

Mr. Barr asked who is responsible for drainage on property?
County is responsible for the road crossings, property owners is responsible for drainage on their own property.

Elwood Burkle asked what depth would tile be? Answer some of the cuts would be 10-11 feet deep from the existing ground. Banks would be a lot higher than they are now. Michael stated at 900 East 1/4 mile east it is 5 feet below the bottom of the existing water way.

Mr. Hoffman stated the property owners should consider extending the legal drain down to the Wildcat to maintain the valleys, as there is problems if you don't have a positive outlet especially one with this size. There is no control over the valleys as it is now. He felt this would not add that much to the cost.

Jerry Frey stated he is constantly fixing blow holes. It is getting continuously worse. They are finding that the tiles are shifting. He feels the major problem is at the outlet.
It has been severely neglected. There are tree roots and tiles that have floated up out of the system. He feels the first thing to do would be fixing and opening up the outlet.

Mr. Power asked in the estimate has consideration been taken in the area west of 900 East? No. Mr. Power felt this would be essential. Michael answered until a legal drain is extended down that way they can't do anything with it, they can do some corrective measures directly downstream from the road. He has to work with the starting and stopping points of the ditch, this is what he had to work with.

At this point Mr. Hoffman explained the procedures of making legal drain west of 900 East.

Malcomb Miller stated he agrees with Jerry Frey's statement.
Mr. Miller's concern is the hardship the assessments would make for the property owners.

Jerry Frey stated they can't seem to hold the blow holes, each spring they are back and bigger holes. Mr. Frey doesn't know what causes this except another ditch was added about four years ago this makes more pressure from the upland it's coming down in such a velocity causing the problem.

Debbie Lineback asked what kind of time frame are you talking about as she carried petition in 1982. Mr. Hoffman stated it probably wouldn't take the time that he did previously.

Mr. Moore asked the feeling of the property owner.

LaVonne Scheffee asked if there was any rules in regards to health and sanitation? Thirty years ago when they purchased their property you couldn't jump over the ditch, now there is refrigerators and other debris making the ditch level. She doesn't understand why the farmer doesn't have to keep it cleaned out. She complained about the road grader grading gravel making a wall at the ditch.

Mr. Osborn stated the board is powerless in regards to debris in the ditches until there is a maintenance fund set up. Maintenance fund is needed.

Jerry Frey asked who has authority? Mr. Hoffman explained the board is the authority.

Mr. Frey is for starting a legal drain with a maintenance fund, but he feels that the money should be brought forward to be spent on opening up the outlet and fixing the main tile. Try to get by with what they have with maintenance.

Malcomb Miller supports Mr. Frey's statement.

Mr. Moore asked Michael if a maintenance fund could be set up and just clean or does it come under reconstruction?

Michael stated they would be maintaining what there is now.

Mrs. Scheffee asked how this would help? Mr. Hoffman stated it would be taking the ditch back to it's original condition.

Mr. Lucas asked if there was an estimate for a maintenance clean out? NO. Michael felt it would just take a week to get an estimate put together. Mr. Lucas stated it would probably take two years to get a maintenance fund set up. Michael stated for a few years the fund could be set at a high figure and then lowered.

Debbie Lineback stated when she carried the petition around and 80-90% of the property owners stated it should be an open ditch, it never worked from day one.

Elwood Burkle stated that those living north and east of the Clinton and Carroll County line would receive no benefits by opening the bottom portion yet they would be paying for it. There are too many obstruction.

Dale Fossnock stated: His ancestors stated that when the ditch was put in, it never worked.

Glen Kelly stated there were six of them that worked on the ditch where the tile comes out. This was 30 years ago.

Mrs. Glen Kelly stated it cost her $100.00 to get a petition in 1982 out of her pocket. She was informed that there is a standard petition form now and there would be no cost for the petition. Mrs. Kelly stated they have willows and to get rid of them the water has to be taken care of.

Glen Kelly stated there are two 6" raises in the ditch, one is on the Bogan property and the woods.

Question was asked was it constructed that way? Yes.
When the ditch was built is was built by the people.

Michael stated the grade can be checked.

Mr. Barr would agree to keep the water going.

Mr. Scheffee stated when they first came to the area there were no problems he feels it has to be open all the way.

Mrs. Kelly stated they have two ponds on their property, water is over the road most of the time, getting out is a problem most of the time. Even when it was dry this summer it was wet.

Mrs. Harner stated this has been a problem for many years.

Mrs. Scheffee stated a lot of the problem was created when 900 East was reconstructed.

Grover West asked how many small acreages were in the watershed. His concern is the break down in lots and acreage.

Mrs. Harner stated the assessment doesn't seem fair.

Kenneth Walker stated there is peat in the area of the Ford property, reason for so much water in the area.

Neal Conner stated that it would be spring of 1989 to get a maintenance fund in to affect.

After much discussion Mr. Spencer asked for show of hands.

Phase I Alternate I, Phase II Dig Open ditch up to where the two branches come together and tile system. Approximate Cost $200.00 acre. Vote 7.

Open Ditch all the way. Approximate Cost $242.00 per acre. Vote 8.

Maintenance. Assessment per acre to be set possible classifications. Vote 5.

The vote going for an open ditch all the way Mr. Spencer will get estimates and hold another meeting to present findings to the property owners.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 10:30 A.M.

Bruce V. Osborn, Chairman

Sue W. Scholer, Boardmember

Eugene R. Moore, Boardmember

ATTEST: Maralyn D. Turner
Maralyn D. Turner
Executive Secretary

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 4, 1989

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met in regular session Wednesday, January 4, 1989 at 9:00 A.M. in the Community Meeting room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana.

The meeting was called to order by J. Frederick Hoffman, County Attorney for the reorganization of the Drainage Board for 1989. Those present were: Bruce V. Osborn, Eugene R. Moore, Sue W. Scholer, Michael J. Spencer, J. Frederick Hoffman, and Maralyn D. Turner, others in attendance are on file.

Mr. Hoffman asked for nominations for Chairman of the Board. Bruce V. Osborn nominated Eugene R. Moore as Chairman seconded by Sue W. Scholer, there being no further nominations Eugene was elected Chairman of the Board.

Mr. Hoffman asked the newly elected Chairman Eugene R. Moore to preside over the meeting.

Eugene Moore asked for nominations for Vice-Chairman, Bruce V. Osborn nominated Sue W. Scholer for Vice-Chairman, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, there being no further nominations Sue W. Scholer was elected Vice-Chairman.

Eugene R. Moore asked for nominations for Secretary, Bruce V. Osborn nominated Maralyn D. Turner as Secretary, seconded by Eugene R. Moore, no further nominations from the floor for secretary Maralyn D.Turner was elected.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to appoint J. Frederick Hoffman as Drainage Attorney for the year 1989, seconded by Sue W. Scholer,unanimous approval.

Mr. Hoffman read the Ditch Assessments for Active and Inactive ditches. The following ditches being Inactive for 1989 are: John Amstutz, Jesse Anderson, Dempsey Baker Newell Baker, Nellie Ball, A.P. Brown, Orrin Byers, Floyd Coe, Grant Cole, J.A. Cripe, Fannie DeVault, Jess Dickens, Martin V. Erwin, Elijah Fugate, Rebecca Grimes, Geo Ilgenfritz,

George Inskeep, Lewis Jakes, E.Eugene Johnson, F.S. Kerschner, Amanda Kirkpatrick, John A. Kuhns, Calvin Lesley, Luther Lucas, John McCoy, John McFarland, Absalm Miller, Ann Montgomery, J. Kelly O'Neal, Lane Parker, James Parlon, Calvin Peters, Franklin Resor, Peter Rettereth, Alexander Ross, James Sheperdson, John Saltzman, Ray Skinner, Joseph C.Sterrett, Wm. A. Stewart, Alonzo Taylor, Jacob Taylor, John Toohey, John VanNatta, Harrison Wallace, Sussana Walters, McDill Waples, Lena Wilder, J&J Wilson, Franklin Yoe.

The following ditches read are Active Ditches: E.W. Andrews, Delphine Anson, Juluis Berlovitz, Herman Beutler, Michael Binder, John Blickenstaff, N.W. Box, Buck Creek(Carroll County),Train Coe, County Farm, Darby Wetherill(Benton County), Marion Dunkin, Crist/Fassnacht, Issac Gowen(White County), Martin Gray, E. F. Haywood, Thomas Haywood, Harrison Meadows,Jenkins,James Kellerman, Frank Kirkpatrick,Mary McKinney, Wesley Mahin, Samuel Marsh(Montgomery County), Hester Motsinger, Aduley Oshier, Emmett Raymon(White County), Arthur Richerd, Abe Smith,Mary Southworth,Gustavel Swanson,Treece meadows,Wilson-Nixon(Fountain County), Simeon Yeager, S.W. Elliott, Dismal Creek, Shawnee Creek.

The following ditches read were made Active for 1989:
Alfred Burkhalter(Clinton County), Charles Daugherty,Thomas Ellis, Fred Hafner, James Kirkpatrick, F. E. Morin, William Walters, and Kirkpatrick One. Michael Spencer wanted the Martin Gray to be included in the Active, it had been read as active, but for the records read in the Make Active. Sue W. Scholer moved to activate the ditches as read, seconded by Bruce V. Osborn, unanimous approval.

Alfred Burkhalter ditch joint with our County the Board secretary should send a letter to the Tippecanoe County Auditor and the Clinton County Auditor.

Michael stated in June 1987 a hearing was held to combine the Treece Meadows branch with S. W. Elliott ditch. These maintenance funds need to be combined and treated as the S.W. Elliott ditch. Sue W. Scholer moved to combine the maintenance funds on the Treece Meadows with the S. W. Elliott ditch treat them all as one, seconded by Bruce V. Osborn, unanimous approval.

J. Frederick Hoffman asked if the Treece Meadows was considered designated branch under the S. W. Elliott ditch? Michael answered it is; Treece Meadows has a beginning point and ending point.

HOFFMAN DITCH

Michael Spencer received a letter signed by two property owners, Malcomb Miller and Jerry Frey on the John Hoffman requesting that the board set up a maintenance fund. A hearing was held in 1988 for reconstruction, this did not go too well. Some were going to try to contact the downstream property owners to make it a legal drain all the way down to Coffee Run. Hearing nothing these property owners are requesting a maintenance fund.

Mr. Hoffman stated this is the ditch that does not have a positive outlet. Correct. They hope to make a positive outlet with the maintenance funds.

Michael will have to make a maintenance report before a hearing can be held. Discussion continued.

Jim Strother property owner 3876 Kensington Drive concerned about drainage of the Orchard Park Subdivision. Michael told Mr. Strother he had received Preliminary submittal that was requested from the engineer to supply with more information, but that

information has not been received. Michael will notify Mr. Strother when he receives the information and when the project comes before the board.

Sue W. Scholer asked Don Sooby, of the Lafayette City Engineer office where are we on McCarty Lane, is it progressing. Mr. Sooby stated a public hearing will be held January 26, 1989, no other meeting has been set up.

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 9:25 A.M. Next meeting will be February 1, 1989.

Eugene R. Moore

Eugene R. Moore, Chairman

Bruce V. Osborn

Bruce V. Osborn, Board Member

Sue W. Scholer

ATTEST: Maralyn D. Turner

Maralyn D. Turner, Executive Secretary

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR Meeting January 3, 1990

The TIPPECANOE County Drainage Board met Wednesday, January 3, 1990 in the Community Meeting room of the TIPPECANOE County Office Building 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana.

Those present were Bruce V. Osborn and Sue W. Scholer, Board Members; Michael J. Spencer, Surveyor; Todd Frauhiger, Drainage Consultant; J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Attorney; and Maralyn D. Turner, Executive Secretary, others present are on file.

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by Drainage Attorney J. Frederick Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman stated that it is time for election of officers for a new year.

Bruce V. Osborn nominated Sue W. Scholer for chairman of the board, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried, there being no other nominations from the flow Sue was elected Chairman of the Board.

Sue W. Scholer chairman continued the meeting asking for nomination for Vice Chairman, Sue W. Scholer nominated Bruce V. Osborn as Vice-Chairman, seconded by Bruce, motion carried, there being no other nominations from the floor Bruce was elected Vice-Chairman.

Bruce V. Osborn nominated Maralyn D. Turner as Secretary, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, there being no other nominations from the floor Maralyn was elected Executive Secretary.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to accept J. Frederick Hoffman's continued services as Drainage Attorney for the year 1990, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried.

Michael J. Spencer recommended to continue the services of the Chris Burke Engineering, LTD as Drainage Engineer Consultant for the year 1990. Bruce V. Osborn moved to accept Michael's recommendation, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried.
1990 DITCH ASSESSMENTS

Fred Hoffman read the following ditches to be made Active for assessments in May 1990. Jesse Anderson, A.P. Brown, Orrin Byers, John McFarland, Ann Montgomery, and the J. Kelly O'Neal.
Ditches that are In Active are: John Amstutz, Dempsey Baker ., Nellie Ball, N.W. Box, Alfred Burkhalter, Floyd Coe, Grant, Cole, J. A. Cripe, Fannie Devault, Marion Dunkin, Jess Dickesn, Martin V. Erwin, Crist/Fassnacht, Elijah Fugate, Rebecca Grimes, Harrison Meadows George Ilgenfritz, George Inskeeep, Lewis Jakes, Jenkins, E. Eugene Johnson, F. S. Kerschner, Amanda Kirkpatrick, James Kirkpatrick, John A. Kuhns, Calvin Lesley, John McCoy, Mary McKinney. Absalm Miller, Lane Parker, James Parlon, Calvin Peters, Franklin Resor, Peter Rettereth, Arthur Richerd, Alexander Ross, James Shepherdson, John Saltzman, Ray Skinner, Joseph C. Sterrett, Wm A. Stewart, Alonzo Taylor, Jacob Taylor,
John Toohey, John VanNatta, Harrison Wallace, Sussana Walters, McDill Waples, J. & J. Wilson, Franklin Yoe, and Shawnee Creek.

Ditches that are Active are: E. W. Andrews, Delphine Anson, Herman Beutler, Michael Binder, John Blickenstaff, Buck Creek (Carroll County), Train Coe, Darby Wetherill (Benton County), Thomas Ellis, Issac Gowen (White County), Martin Gray, Fred Hafner, E.F. Haywood, Thomas Haywood, James Kellerman, Frank Kirkpatrick, Wesley Mahin, Samuel Marsh (Montgomery County), Hester Motsinger, Audley Oshier, Emmett Raymon (White County), Abe Smith, Mary Southworth, William Walters, Wilson-Nixon (Fountain County), Simeon Yeager, S. W. Elliott, Dismal Creek, and Kirkpatrick One.

Bruce V. Osborn moved that the ditches that were read to be made active become active on the May 1990 Assessment, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, motion carried.

COUNTRY CHARMS

COUNTRY CHARMS

John Fisher asked that this be continued until next meeting February 7, 1990.

TRASH TRANSFER

TRASH TRANSFER

John Fisher presented site drawings. Outlet goes into the Flood Plan. Mr. Hoffman asked who owns the Flood Plan? Leroy Barton. Question as to if it would increase the flow and the speed onto Barton. Question do you have permission from Mr. Barton? Answer - No. Mr. Hoffman stated that permission should be received from Leroy Barton. Mr. Fisher stated they are providing rip-rap, it will not increase the velocity. Mr. Fisher pointed out that they had met with the Soil Conservation and have worked out the one condition of erosion control. Mr. Hoffman asked if Mr. Barton knew about this meeting? NO. Presentation and discussion continued.

Bruce V. Osborn asked John Fisher to explain the plans to the Barton's.

Michael stated that the water is tributary to that area now, it will go through a pond now instead of sheet drainage.

Mr. Hoffman stated they should have their chance to object, so that they can't say we are damaging their property.

Sue W. Scholer stated there are two recommendations made.
1. The erosion control. 2. The calculations.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to give approval to the drainage control for the Trash Transfer with exception of #9 and the other recommendations as stated in the Christopher Burke

Engineering,LTD review, plus letter from downstream from Burton's, seconded by Sue W. Scholer.

DIMMENSION CABLE

DIMENSION CABLE

George Schulte engineer from Ticen and Associates presented site plans. Property is located in the Treece Drainage Watershed area. The water shed area was analyzed to determine the high water elevation that would be in the channel. Their detention storage volume that they calculated was above the high water elevation of the dithc along north property line. They did decrease the allowable release rate from 2.11 cfs down to .4 cfs, there is about 3.3 acres in the site. They are increasing the volume required for storage on site.

Sue W. Scholer asked about the plans for maintenance on that ditch? Basically they are assuming that the owner would maintain the entire site, this is reason for putting 3-1 slopes on the ditch.

Mr. Hoffman asked if it was a new ditch, George again stated it is an existing ditch. The ditch at this time is full of brush, weeds, etc, it is not a legal drain.

George stated they are asking for final drainage approval.

Mr. Hoffman asked if George's client would be willing to participate in the cost of a more substantial drainage improvement in the area. Mr. Shulte stated he could not answer that question, but he feels he would be willing.
Bruce asked if conditions had been met? Michael Spencer answered, no, there is one other conditions and that is that the City of Lafayette review this project, as of January 2, 1990 this area is in side the City Limits as is Wal-Mart.
Mr. Sooby has not seen the plans presented.Discussion continued.

Mr. Hoffman stated this is not a subdivision, but should have the same kind of restriction as subdivisions. Mr. Hoffman asked that a letter be received from the developer stating they will participate in their fair share of the improvement when the major improvement is made. Michael asked if he was talking about facility on site. Answer-yes. Maintenance on site and that they would assist in making that area a part of the legal drain, and that they will participate in the cost of improving the Wilson Branch. Michael asked if they should provide a letter stating that they will maintain their on site system. Mr. Hoffman stated he would like for it to be in form that can be recorded, so it will run with the land should the land be sold.

George asked what things are needed for approval? 1. Participate in the improvements of the Wilson Branch. 2. Cost of improvements. 3. Maintain the one on the premises, and if they don't the County would have the right to maintain it and assess the cost. Incorporate the existing drain on the north side of the site into the Treece drain or Wilson Branch.
A letter is needed from the owner for the above mentioned items to Michael. Michael asked that the city review and give their approval be added as they are involved.

Sue asked if the board understands correctly that the City still wants that maintenance to run to the County on the regulated drain. Mr. Sooby answered, he thinks that is correct.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to give approval with the four recommendations being met, seconded by Sue W. Scholer.

WAL-MART

WAL- MART

Clifford Norton representing Wal-Mart and George Davidson of Horne Properties presented drainage plans. Michael stated the plans meet the county restriction on the limited release rate. Michael pointed out at the last meeting Mr. Long was present and brought up the fact of emergency routing for drainage which is a problem in this area, and at that time Michael stated he had Christopher Burke Engineering LTD looking at the Wilson Branch from Ross Road where the Simon improvement would end with the 100 year design flow in the channel. He had him look all the way up through Treece Meadows on what design would be required or Channel section would be required to get from Ross Road up to Treece Meadows. Michael has received the report this morning. Basically what he says in his report is to properly move the 100 year storm event from the north end of Treece Meadows or where open channel turns and goes back west through the Subdivision, looking at approximately 40 foot bottom width on the channel and 2-1 side slopes from there down to the Wilson Branch in some fashion. They have had some preliminary locations for the channel so he would have some idea for lengths to work with as far as grades to get the water down there, basically at this time to pass the 100 year storm event is to provide a 40 foot bottom width channel with 2-1 side slopes down to the Wilson Branch, then continue down the Wilson Branch taking out the trees and re-grading the bottom and side slopes down to Ross Road in order to get the water to the regional detention facility that will be constructed. Michael stated this is a starting point as there are allot of alternatives that can be put in there. This is basically what Channel section they are looking at. The crossings of Creasey Lane and McCarty Lane will need bridge openings of approximately 600 square foot openings to pass the 100 year storm event. Bruce asked if this was visible? Mr. Norton stated anything is visible. Bruce asked if this was to go in during the other construction? Michael answered it would take a petition for re-construction of the Wilson Branch of the Elliott ditch. Michael feels that we are at the point now where a petition is needed from the watershed area. More study is needed. While the land is open is the time to get something started. Cost estimates and plans will have to be put together. Michael can not put a time element on it, the area is hot enough for development and something needs to be done. Discussion of petition.

Mr. Davidson stated that Wal-Mart has no problem at all to work with the rest of the watershed and are willing to pay their fair share of the assessment.

Tom McCully representing Long Tree Limited went over what Long Tree Limited went through when they were developing Burberry Subdivision. The problem is at the South end at Treece drain and Wilson Branch, pipe put in 1978 creates constriction of everything upstream from there. Discussion of Cost in 1978, and the over all problem of the area. At that time the owners agreed to put an assessment based upon the cost, which amounted to approximately $1,000.00 per acre. Todays presentation does try to address the problem all the way from the north end of Treece down to the Wilson Branch on down to the Elliott ditch. Tom stressed that if we don't look at an over all picture we are not going to get anything accomplished. What has to be done is as property is developed everybody agrees to participate to get the problem corrected. At this time we have an open ditch going into a 24" pipe. Discussion continued.

Tom McCully stated that probably this should be an Urban drain not a rural drain. Convert to Urban drain and reconstruct. Long Tree Limited is willing to cooperate. Again he stressed that everybody is going to have to be in agreement that the problem needs corrected and go from there. The longer this goes the more expense it is going to be. Discussion continued.

Michael stated that in the interim there is a plan that could be done temporarily to get the emergency routing out of the Subdivision. This is going to take cooperation from the people involved.

Bruce asked Mr. Norton if they are going to be asking for road cuts on Creasey, answer yes, they have two entrance, and one on Highway 26.

Mr. Hoffman stated Wal-Mart will have to have some type of document stating they will participate in and pay their fair share of the cost of the improvement, and maintain what else they will be putting in there, if they don't the county will have the right to go in and maintain, then assess them for the cost.

Sue Scholer suggested that Michael call a meeting with all property owners involved in the development.

Michael stated that Burke Engineering brought to his attention that this could be a lengthy project, but in the mean time the board should look at a temporary diversion swale, not a major structure. Mr. Hoffman asked if there was a place for it and Michael replied it can be done, however it will not be easy. Michael stated this would be everybody north of Treece Meadows who wants to develop. Michael wanted more time to think. Mr. Sooby was concerned about property owner saying let the other guy do it.

Mr. Davidson asked Michael if he was satisfied with their drainage analysis, answer - yes.

Mr. Norton stated there are two ways that Wal-Mart can go. He asked if the board could give approval subject to meeting the qualifications to avoid another meeting or bring up all the criteria that they need to submit and have another meeting.

Sue W. Scholer stated that the board would be requiring all the essential things stated and final approval passed would be subject to all things presented to Michael and approved by the attorney and the City of Lafayette. Sue stated possibly the board should make a requirement as Wal-Mart goes through the process of their development some of the other things needed will be based on getting a meeting and something temporary with all people involved who are developing in that area.

Mr. Davidson again stated they would agree in participating in what ever effort is made out in that area. They would like to leave the meeting this morning with some idea of construction cost so they can build their budget. He stated they could have a letter back to Michael tomorrow committing to the things the board is trying to accomplish.

Michael Spencer and Don Sooby will work together to come up with satisfactory proposals. Don stated that lionslying share of the burden may fall on Wal-Mart to do something temporary, as no body wants to do anything until their development is ready to move. Wal-Mart wants to move ahead with their development and if the interim facilities are necessary for this to get board approval, but not the total cost is going to fall on Wal-Mart. Discussion continued.

Michael asked if a credit could be given back to Wal-Mart at a later date of what they would put in on the interim? Mr. Sooby stated that the interim facility is not going to contribute much toward the long term, it really isn't a down payment on the ultimate facilities.

Mr. Davidson asked how will the development fully affect the Treece Meadows. Michael answered hopefully up to a 100 year storm event by calculations it should reduce the downstream affect, its above the 100 year storm event that is of concern. Currently there is 80 cfs coming off for a 10 year storm. Discussion continued.

Sue W. Scholer asked what needs to be done to get the total process going?

Mr. Hoffman stated if Michael feels there is a need for reconstruction as an Urban drain Michael should report that to the Board and then the process can start for making it an Urban drain for reconstruction. That's on the long term. A Petition is not needed all that is necessary is a letter from Michael Spencer surveyor stating that it needs to be an Urban drain and it can be done as an Urban drain. Statement should state that if it is reconstructed as an Urban drain it will drain the area properly. Michael should present a letter to the Board.

Mr. Hoffman agreed with Mr. Sooby's statement that Wal-Mart is going to have to pay most of the cost of the temporary facility as the other property owners can say they are not ready to develop and we don't see the need for this until we develop. Discussion continued.

Items needed from Wal-Mart are: Letter of Commitment for Maintenance of the drain facilities that they build. In the letter a commitment for participation in the original program and that Wal-Mart pay their fair share of reconstruction and if they do not maintain the drainage on their property the county would have a right to come in and do the maintenance and make assessment for the cost. Mr. Hoffman wanted this to be in a recordable fashion so it will run with the land.

The Wal-Mart was asked to come back Tuesday January 9, 1990 at 9:30 A.M. for re-convened session. Due to not having a quorum of Board Members the January 9 meeting was postponed until Wednesday January 17, 1990 at 9:00 A.M..

STATE ROAD 38 PROJECT AGREEMENT

STATE ROAD 38 PROJECT AGREEMENT

Agreement with the State on Hwy 38 the detention pond and drainage. The County will receive $50,000.00 if it is installed prior to the time the State goes to work on the 38 Project, if the County does not have it installed the County does not get the $50,000.00 and the State puts it in. This is based on when the work starts. Discussion.

Fred stated that he and Michael had reviewed the agreement and it meets the standards. This goes along with the meeting held October 1988 on the Highway 38 Project. Agreement is on file.

Bruce V. Osborn moved to accept the agreement of State Highway 38 and the water problems, seconded by Sue W. Scholer, unanimous approval.

ORCHARD PARK

ORCHARD PARK

Michael Spencer Surveyor, presented Fee Proposal prices to provide field survey for the Orchard Park Legal Ditch Project. Earlier two different companies had presented prices for doing surveying work for the project. There was quite a bit of difference in the prices submitted so a more defined scope of work was presented to different companies and Michael has received the following submittals.

Todd Frauhiger read the Companies and their figures this is for the entire watershed area. This would include aerial mapping, contour map for the watershed, all existing pipes within the water shed, their reaches and sizes, inverts, the ravine system all the way down to the Wildcat creek.

Ticen Shulte and Associates	$31,900.00
John E. Fisher	$22,372.00
MTA	$21,680.00
Vester's and Associates	$24,990.00

The services that were included are:

Aerial Control Survey. Vertical and Horizontal survey to provide control for aerial mapping will be provided.
Establish Baselines. Baselines will be established, referenced, and tied to the horizontal mapping control. These base lines will follow, as closely as possible, the flow lines of the defined ravines.

Investigation of Existing Storm Sewer Facilities. Existing storm sewers and culverts within the watershed will be located, identified and surveyed for length and elevation. This information will be provided in the form of survey field notes. Aerial Mapping of the ravine will be provided, scribed on mylar. Contours will be at one foot intervals, scale will be 1"=100' or as other wise specified. Baselines will be superimposed on the mapping.

THE ITEMS READ ARE NEEDED FOR THE ENTIRE WATERSHED

Descriptions of Easements. Descriptions of proposed easements from each land owner involved will be provided. Easements will most likely be described as a horizontal distance beyond a specified elevation on the bank of the ravine.

Todd stated the quicker the surveyors could get started the better they could get a proper survey, each would like to get to it as soon as possible and no later than February as leaves will be starting and they can not get a true picture. One of the figures presented is only good through February . After that date it may increase the aerial photography figure. If it is delayed longer it could be late 1990 before work could be completed.

Time is needed to go through the presentations, Michael will come back at the next meeting with findings.

Meeting recessed until Tuesday January 9, 1990, January 9, 1990 meeting was re-scheduled for Wednesday January 17, 1990.

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR MEETING, WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 1991

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, January 9, 1991 in the Community meeting room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Nola Gentry calling the meeting to order for the re-organization of the board, therefore she invited J. Frederick Hoffman drainage attorney to preside

Those present were: Keith E. McMillin, Hubert D. Yount, Board Members; Michael J. Spencer, Surveyor; Ilene Dailey Consultant Drainage Engineer; J. Frederick Hoffman Drainage Board Attorney; Don Sooby, City Engineer; and Maralyn D. Turner Executive Secretary.

Mr. Hoffman asked for nominations from the floor for board chairman. Keith McMillin nominated Nola J. Gentry as chairman, seconded by Hubert Yount, there being no other nominations from the floor Nola J. Gentry was unanimously elected chairman of the board.

Mr. Hoffman turned the meeting over to Ms. Gentry to conduct the remainder of the meeting.

Ms. Gentry asked for nominations from the floor for vice-chairman of the board. Keith McMillin nominated Hubert Yount as vice-chairman, seconded by Nola J. Gentry, there being no further nominations from the floor, Hubert D. Yount was unanimously elected vice-chairman of the board.

Ms. Gentry asked for nominations from the floor for Executive Secretary, Keith McMillin nominated Maralyn D. Turner as executive secretary, seconded by Hubert D. Yount, there being no further nominations from the floor Maralyn D. Turner was unanimously elected executive secretary.

Mr. Hoffman read the following ditches to be made active for the year 1991 J. A. Kuhns, Ray Skinner, Gustav Swanson, and Shawnee Creek. A letter from White County Surveyor was read to collect maintenance assessments on the Emmet Rayman ditch for 1991. Keith E. McMillin moved to make these ditches active for assessment in the year 1991, seconded by Hubert D. Yount, unanimously approved.

(See bottom of page for active and inactive ditches.)

ROAD 350 SOUTH

Stewart Kline of Kline and Associates presented final drainage plans for the project Road 350 South. A preliminary plan had previously been presented and a conceptual approval had been granted.

At this time they are developing plans for three separate projects along County Road 350 South as follows: Phase I Part I Cr 350 South from US 231 to CR 100 E. (9th Street) Phase II Part II CR 350S from CR100 E to 250 E (Concord Road) Project II CR 350 S from CR 250 E to approximately 0.3 miles west of US 52. All three projects fall in the Kirkpatrick ditch watershed except for a small section at the western terminus which outlets along US 231 and eventually into Wea Creek. The existing conditions for drainage are poor. Mr. Kline continued presentation which is on file. What they propose to do with the three projects is to use some road side channels and clean up allot of the existing problems. They have broken down three major off-site locations. Presentation continued on the new off-site surface flow channel.

Structure # 1 will be providing storage on the north side of new County Road 350 South and outletting into the Wea Watershed.

Second point of discharge is at the Kirkpatrick ditch itself where a new box culvert will be installed and channel improvements for downstream, at that point they will be opening up the existing tile. The channel will be deepened going with the box culvert sections allowing the existing pipe to be opened into the open flow channel, run down and spill eventually into the extension of Elliott ditch. This will allow them to bring more water more efficiently. This makes for a more economically feasible structure. At this time the bridge would be extremely long and very shallow because it is more of swale by defining the channel and dropping the depth will be able to cross in a much shorter distance.

County Road 100 East they are basically discharging down 100 east the existing path that flows down and back into the Kirkpatrick open ditch and tile system. Detention will be provided at this point to try to minimize any affects there.

The fourth at Station 135+96 line "A" where water will be routed through the proposed Valley Forge Subdivision storm water sewer system which eventually outfalls into the Kirkpatrick. They have coordinated with Dale Kuhns with Valley Forge, they are accepting the off-site water into their storm sewer system.

The fifth is at CR 150 E running down the existing side ditches again providing storage.

The last is a new overland ditch at Station 185+40 line "A" which runs south to the northernmost branch of the Kirkpatrick surface flow. This will provide detention ahead that and bring the channel out to match the existing surface flow which is very shallow and almost a sheet flow condition.

Detention is provided at several location. Presentation continued.

The two major points of detention are east of the Conrail Railroad at that point they will be holding the water before it ever crosses, catching the water that sheet flows to the south to the Kirkpatrick ditching it and doing major detention at the point holding both north and south prior to reaching CR 150.

Mr. Kline stated all in all it is an improvement of a very poor situation up and down the line. By holding at the top of the shed they eliminate problems from all the way down the watershed. Mr. Kline asked if there were any questions.

Hubert Yount asked at Valley Forge going into the storm sewer, what is the capacity realization for the future as it is developed, are you in good condition there so we won't have any problems the back up in Valley Forge? Mr. Kline stated as Valley Forge develops the storm water going into the County system should decrease because they are designing for existing flow conditions. As developers come in there they are going to have to meet drainage ordinance and hold back the 10 year pre-developed, so they are assuming that their peak that we are giving to Mr. Kuhns now is the maximum. Mr. Yount stated then we are still going to be in a safe condition when the developers come in. Mr. Kline stated as the developers come in we will actually have excess capacity.

Michael Spencer, surveyor asked what they were going to do in the mean time before the development takes place over on Valley Forge? If there system is not in place how is yours going to work? Mr. Kline answered if it comes to a point where Valley Forge is not going to be in place prior to our development we will have to go on down to 150 and take it south.

Nola Gentry asked then there is capacity at 150? Mr. Kline stated they would have to rebuild the ditch, but that is the existing path and will be reduced. It would mean greater construction expenses, which they are trying to avoid. One of the big problems in the shed is that there is not enough fall. To get the water down to the Kirkpatrick, they would have to take the larger volume of the water that they were going to route throughout Valley Forge they would have to do considerable ditch work to get it there.

Mr. Hoffman asked how much additional distance would you have? Mr. Kline asked to go around Valley Forge? Yes, at least a half of a mile.

Hubert Yount stated they would have to do some reconstruction on those existing ditches down there. Mr. Kline stated right, they would have extend Project I. Hubert asked if they had enough right of way to do that? Mr. Kline asked down 150? Yes, under the present plans the answer is no. It is the assumed that the present plans is that the Valley Forge development occurs prior to our development. Hubert stated if it does not, then we will have to acquire the right of way to do that. Mr. Kline stated we will have to acquire right of way, this is 100 E (South Ninth).

Michael stated comes back west along the south side of 350 South, then south along the east side of Ninth Street. If they plat subdivision they would have to grant that additional right of way which is not platted today, therefore we do not have it. Stewart Kline stated we do not have the right of way to build the ditch if they don't build, then we don't have their storm sewer system in place. Hubert stated then we are ahead of them if we acquire right of way on South Ninth Street prior to that platting. Mr. Kline stated this is right.

Steve Murray Highway Engineer, stated he does not anticipate that being a major problem in that we have met with the developer and supplied him with information. He has been cooperative. The half width right of way dedication for that side of South Ninth Street, 50 or 60 feet based on the thoroughfare plan. He thinks if the worse case develops here where Valley Forge did not have or was not ready to put their storm improvements in at the time we go to construction that the developer would be willing to grant us the extra right of way knowing full well that when he plats he has to give that right of way up. We would use that primarily as a temporary solution to drain the water from this small portion of 350 South, south along South Ninth along the east side of the road down to the Kirkpatrick. Basically that is just a back up solution, and rather than to go into it without a back up we feel we have ourselves covered from both sides.

Hubert asked what does that do to our road construction?

Steve asked as far as the 350 South job? Yes. Steve - Nothing substantial. Hubert - How about on Ninth Street? Steve - It should not affect that either. Hubert, but you are ultimately going to have to widen there? Steve - Eventually, yes they are hoping to. There is going to be a need for it in a very few short years.

Nola J. Gentry asked if there were any questions or comments from those present.

Ed Purdy property owner on Road 231 South. His family farm is on the south end of the drainage system. He is very concerned about removing the existing tile, it is functional and preforms adequately for the agricultural commitment that it was initially built for. He realizes that with the development upstream there probably is a need for a better drainage system. He would like for the system not to be opened if anything improving the size of tile. The area that it runs through is real rough ground and he feels if it is opened there will be allot of erosion in that area. The sub base is sand & gravel and he thinks that all of us know it would be difficult to maintain slopes on a ditch with a base of sand and gravel. What is there now is the existing system, the excess water runs over the surface and there appears to be no erosion. He stated since the board (Commissioners) are new, he would like for them to come out to the site and look over the area and see what is being talked about and presented. He thinks to do some of these things at this time the way they are proposing to do they are short sided for the future for the whole system. If the board would come out he would be more than happy to show them the area.

Michael asked Ed if he was talking about the part of the ditch at the Kirkpatrick north of the proposed Road 350 South. Steve stated basically where the tile is going to be taken out and replace it with an open channel. Ed stated he is not familiar with the other thing they are talking about on US 231 where your talking about some other detention area, this is new to him. Steve stated it is the water that is going to be stored in the ditches, the controlled structure will be a cross pipe under 350. Ed pointed out the area he was talking about is a habitat for wildlife. Tearing that out the wildlife is going to be disturbed. Discussion continued.

Fred Hoffman asked how long of a stretch are you talking about? Mr. Kline 800-900 feet. Mr. Hoffman asked how big is the pipe? Michael stated the existing pipe is approximately 27-30 inch. Nola asked if it would hold or would it have to be open for this to work. Michael stated they are not going to be allowed to put the road water into the tile. It drains overland today, after construction release rate is acceptable it could drain the same way today. Mr. Purdy stated what you have is the tile in there now is performing, there is no surface drainage. Mr. Purdy hates for them to jerk that tile out and always have surface drainage there, if the tile is left in and if the

system was regraded and cut back and smoothed out, then maybe you could take care of the run off easier. It is simply not a problem to his farm as it is today. Today there is no problem, if you tear it out it is going to be a continuous flow of water. There is flow in the tile at all times, if you remove it there will surely be continuous flow in the ditch.

Mr. Hoffman asked how deep is the tile from the surface? Mr. Purdy stated he did not know, he feels it is quite deep because the elevation of the banks is probably 25 feet. Discussion continued.

Mr. Purdy stressed again he is requesting the board to see the project before they grant approval to the proposed drainage plans.

Mr. Hoffman asked how deep were they going to have the water in the side ditches where they are going to have storage? Stewart Kline - 4 feet or less in compliance with the ordinance. Discussion continued.

Nola asked if we had a major storm what would be the depth in the side ditches on storage? Steve Murray stated this can't really be answered without computer calculations. Mr. Hoffman asked how long is it going to be before it drains out and will it create a traffic hazard? Answer - In a matter of hours, and no hazard to traffic as it is in the side ditches. Discussion continued.

Jack Coffman property owner of Fairfield Contractors 3310 Concord Road. Property is at NE corner of 350 and Concord Road. He recommended that the board not give approval to the proposed drainage plans submitted until they have a chance to review the affect on their property of this design.

Nola asked if there were any other comments on this project.

Steve Murray stated an over all comment of this project is that it takes up a very large area an improvement that the county highway department has been working on for quite some time, do to the SIA plant being put into Tippecanoe County. It has gone through the normal channels. Basically according to the drainage boards consultant it meets the drainage codes. He realizes that Ed Purdy has some concerns and he certainly has no problem delaying judgement on this for another month if the board would like to come out and become more familiar with the project and what is actually going to happen. He did point out that we have had conceptual approval, as stated the drainage board consultant has reviewed the calculations and documentation with some additional information to be supplied to them they do recommend conditional approval. Back to the out fall to the Kirkpatrick and removing a portion of the tile. The primary reason that was done was what Stu had mentioned to begin with, if we would try to put a bridge in there or a battery culverts, we would have a long very expensive part to maintain bridge structure, so at that time they took a look at putting in concrete box structures to keep the cost down, plus maintenance cost down for the future and looking at it they found out that from the hydraulics by taking that portion of the tile out it would actually cause the rest of the tile up stream to function better. Again we would have no objection to delaying this for a month. Delaying he feels will not affect the development of the project.

Hubert D. Yount moved to table the action on the Road 350 South project until next meeting so the board can go out to the project and give Mr. Coffman of Fairfield Contractors a chance to review the plans, seconded by Keith E. McMillin, unanimous approval.

MCCARTY LANE

Nola J. Gentry stated that McCarty Lane was not an agenda item, but that some of the preliminary drainage report is ready for the McCarty Lane. We will listen to the report, but no action will be taken today.

Stewart Kline presented the preliminary drainage plans. Presentation was given in the July 11, 1990 meeting and at that time conceptual approval to McCarty Lane drainage plan and LUR as presented for the over all regional detention plans.

Stewart Kline stated this is an interesting drainage problem with the existing Kepner ditch being overwhelmed.

They will be coming with a four lane urbanized roadway section.

Again he stated the solution is to build a regional detention facility which will be built in three phases that have already been presented. Phase I is to be built by the City. Phase II LUR. Phase III Caterpillar Tractor Inc.

It uses property currently owned by LUR and Caterpillar Tractor to detain the already existing problem. Presentation continued and is on file. Discussion continued.

Phase III will be built as they develop. Caterpillar is retaining the rights to enlarge the Phase I pond to meet their development needs. Hubert asked if this would occur as they developed. Answer - yes.

Nola Gentry asked how wide is Phase I? Mike Peterson stated about 100 feet. Hubert asked how deep? Mike Peterson stated the maximum depth in the whole basin is 8 feet, and a 7 foot chain length fence is around Phase II. Mr. Hoffman stated there would be a fence because of the requirement to the ordinance. Hubert asked how much water would it hold. Mike Peterson stated there is 18 acre feet in Phase I, 16 acres feet Phase II, and 26 acre feet in Phase III. Hubert asked if they are talking about carrying water in that at all times. Mike Peterson stated there will be a flow of water because of the Layden ditch to the north which brings water across from McCarty Lane down through the system. It is not actually a wet bottom pond, it is a ditch that will be used to detain.

Stewart Kline stated the city will have ownership of the entire property Phase I, Phase II, and Phase III properties. LUR will install the maintenance road in the Phase II pond and fence in that section. City will install the fence, the ultimate ownership and maintenance will be the city for the entire project.

Nola J. Gentry asked if there were some down stream problems that this is going to create? Michael Spencer stated this should help down stream property because they are making a regional facility. Currently there are some flooding problems along McCarty Lane. The pipe going into the Wilson branch is not going to change from what it is today as a certain capacity. Nola asked, then this would be a controlled. Michael stated it will be controlled by the existing pipes. Mr. Hoffman asked if this storage was going to help on the storage that is needed on the Wal-Mart project and on the Wilson (below)? Is it going to assist in our need there for the whole Elliott ditch system storage. Michael stated it will help, it is not connected with the Wal-Mart other than they both drain to the Wilson branch. They are not going to take water away from one and the other. The Caterpillar area when it is developed it will come south instead of going east. Technically it is going to help, it is not going to create any additional problems. Mr. Hoffman asked if this storage will help on the storage problem at Elliott ditch that has been talked about at Ivy Tech? Michael stated at this time it won't make a difference.

Hubert asked how big of pipe is it that is coming out of there going to Wilson ditch? Answer - 48 inch.

Stewart Kline stated at this time the outfall will be reduced. The pipe that outfalls to the Wilson is capable of discharging 108 cfs. What happens now that there is like road way flooding on surface. Water isn't taken into the tile and spills out over land and kind of floods the properties along Creasey and gets into the Wilson. This is an additional 100 cfs plus the will be integrated into the system and stopped. Won't have that surface flow condition that you have now, everything will be held and the release will be held to the capacity of the existing tile. It will still be the 48 inch pipe with 108 cfs. They will eliminate the run around that happens now where all the surface flow seeps and eventually gets down to the Wilson, that will all be trapped by the LUR development and the roadway. This will bring it into the pond and still hold the water way to the 108 cfs, this should be improved with the downstream.

Michael Spencer stated when Caterpillar develops it will be rerouted and the water will come south instead of going east into Treece.

Mr. Hoffmans asked if this required Core of Engineer approval. Answer - No.

Don Sooby, City Engineer stated this is the project the City has been working quite some time. They are getting close to right of way acquisition and hope to complete getting those by the end of 1991. Hopefully in 1992 get the project program for Federal funds for construction work to begin. They have worked with Caterpillar and LUR in developing this regional detention pond to the benefit of the whole drainage area. On behalf of the city he encourage the drainage board approval at the earliest opportunity on this project.

Stewart Kline stated the project has been reviewed the county drainage consultant. The pond itself and the watershed analysis and there is no problem with the water construction capacity. The consultant is wanting at this point is that this being a fairly large shed and the master model that is being developed by Burke and Associates for the Elliott system. They want to be able to bring this into their master model since it is significant.

Ilene Dailey, drainage consultant stated that would help answer some of the questions in regards of what affect this would have on other basins. Stewart Kline stated it will increase the accuracy of the model we are looking at a 2 hour storm event and they are looking at a 24 hour storm event. That controls for the Elliott as a whole, but does not control for us, so what we have to do to provide for them or work with them in some manner in updating their report as to convert this model to the 24 for the master. He thinks as far as the design for this, there is a consensus that this is where it stands, and this is what is good for the Kepner ditch watershed.

Hubert Yount asked at Navco and Farbee problem does it all go into this watershed? Yes.

Discussion and presentation continued.

Jim Shook representing LUR recommended approval at the right time.

Michael stated this project will be on the agenda of the February, 1991 meeting.

Mr. Hoffman asked if notices had been mailed to property owners? Per Kline notices had been sent stating this would be presented at todays meeting, but no action would be taken, copies of these letters are in the file.

Michael stated that basically the same pipes are being used that are there now, not changing, and there is no assessments.

WETLANDS - 1990 USDA

Michael Spencer presented copies of information on Wetlands - 1990 USDA. Discussion of Wetlands. Michael asked Mr. Hoffman how this affects the drainage board in regards to Maintenance and Reconstruction. Mr. Hoffman will check into this and brush burning. He has written legislatures in regards to brush burning, and he will check on Michaels concern in regards to the reconstruction schedules. Mr. Hoffman stated we all should write our legislatures in regards to these two subjects. He will make a report to the board as soon as he has an answer.

There being no further business, Hubert Yount moved to adjourn the meeting at 10:05 A.M.

Nola J. Hentry, Chairman

Keith E. McMillin, Board Member

Hubert D. Yount

Attest: Maralyn D. Turner, Executive Secretary

ACTIVE AND INACTIVE DITCHES

Mr. Hoffman read the following ditches to be made active for the year 1991 J. A. Kuhns, Ray Skinner, Gustav Swanson, Charles E. Daugherty, John Hoffman and Shawnee Creek. A letter from White County Surveyor was read to collect maintenance assessments on the Emmet Rayman ditch for 1991. Keith E. McMillin moved to make these ditches active for assessment in the year 1991, seconded by Hubert D. Yount, unanimously approved.

The following ditches were made Inactive for the year 1991 John Blickenstaff, O. J. Byers and Beutler/Gosma, Keith E. McMillin moved to make these ditches inactive, seconded by Hubert D. Yount, unanimously approved.

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
FEBRUARY 5, 1992

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, February 5, 1992 in the Community Meeting Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Keith E. McMillin calling the meeting to order.

Those present were: Keith E. McMillin, Chairman, Nola J. Gentry and Hubert Yount, Tippecanoe County Commissioners, Michael J. Spencer, County Surveyor, Ilene Dailey, Chris Burke Consulting Engineers, J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Board Attorney, and Dorothy M. Emerson, Executive Secretary Drainage Board.

The first item on the agenda was to approve to the minutes of the meeting for the last Drainage Board meeting on January 8, 1991. Nola Gentry moved to approve the minutes, seconded by Hubert Yount. Unanimously approved.

CARROLL COUNTY JOINT DRAIN

Mike Spencer, County Surveyor stated Keith McMillin and Hubert Yount needed to be appointed to the Carroll County Joint Drain for the Andrew and Mary Thomas Drains.

Nola Gentry motioned to appoint Keith McMillin and Hubert Yount to the Carroll County Joint Drain for the Andrew and Mary Thomas Drains.

Hubert Yount, seconded. Motion carried.

DRAINAGE BOARD ATTORNEY CONTRACT

Mike presented the Board with a contract for the Drainage Board Attorney J. Frederick Hoffman, that needed to be executed for 1992.

Hubert Yount moved to approve the contract between Tippecanoe County Drainage Board and J. Frederick Hoffman as Attorney for said group.

Nola J. Gentry, seconded. Motion carried.

ACTIVE AND INACTIVE DITCHES

Nola Gentry moved to include the active and inactive ditches into the February minutes and mail the appropriate notices to the surrounding counties. Hubert Yount, seconded. Motion carried.

The following is a list of the active and inactive ditch assessment list for 1992.

DRAINAGE BOARD ASSESSMENT LIST

DITCH No.	DITCH	TOTAL 4 YEAR ASSESSMENT	1991	1992
1	Amstutz, John	$5,008.00	Inactive	Inactive
2	Anderson, Jesse	$15,675.52	Active	Active
3	Andrews, E.W.	$2,566.80	Active	Active
4	Anson, Delphine	$5,134.56	Active	Active
5	Baker, Dempsey	$2,374.24	Inactive	Inactive
6	Baker, Newell	$717.52	Inactive	Inactive
7	Ball, Nellie	$1,329.12	Inactive	Inactive
8	Berlovitz, Juluis	$8,537.44	Inactive	Inactive
9	H W Moore Lateral (Benton Co)			Active
10	Binder, Michael	$4,388.96	Active	Active
11	Blickenstaff, John	$7,092.80	Inactive	Inactive
12	Box, NW	$11,650.24	Inactive	Inactive
13	Brown, A P	$8,094.24	Active	Active
14	Buck Creek (Carroll Co)		Active	Inactive
15	Burkhalter, Alfred	$5,482.96	Inactive	Active
16	Byers, Orrin	$5,258.88	Inactive	Inactive
17	Coe, Floyd	$13,617.84	Inactive	Inactive
18	Coe, Train	$3,338.56	Active	Inactive
19	Cole, Grant	$4,113.92	Inactive	Inactive
20	County Farm	$1,012.00	Active	Active
21	Cripe, Jesse	$911.28	Inactive	Inactive
22	Daughtery, Charles E.	$1,883.12	Active	Active
23	Devault, Fannie	$3,766.80	Inactive	Inactive
25	Dunkin, Marion	$9,536.08	Inactive	Inactive
26	Darby, Wetherill (Benton Co)		Active	Active
27	Ellis, Thomas	$1,642.40	Active	Inactive
28	Erwin, Martin V	$656.72	Inactive	Inactive
29	Fassnacht, Christ	$2,350.56	Inactive	Inactive
30	Fugate, Elijah	$3,543.52	Inactive	Inactive
31	Gowen, Issac (White Co)		Inactive	Active
32	Gray, Martin	$6,015.52	Active	Inactive
33	Grimes, Rebecca	$3,363.52	Inactive	Inactive
34	Hafner, Fred	$1,263.44	Active	Active
35	Haywood, E.F.	$7,348.96	Active	Active
36	Haywood, Thomas	$2,133.12	Active	Active
37	Harrison, Meadows	$1,532.56	Inactive	Inactive
39	Inskeep, George	$3,123.84	Inactive	Inactive
40	Jakes, Lewis	$5,164.24	Inactive	Inactive
41	Johnson, E. Eugene	$10,745.28	Inactive	Inactive

41	Johnson, E. Eugene	$10,745.28	Inactive	Inactive
42	Kellerman, James	$1,043.52	Active	Inactive
43	Kerschner, Floyd	$1,844.20	Inactive	Inactive
44	Kirkpatrick, Amanda	$2,677.36	Inactive	Inactive
45	Kirkpatrick, Frank	$4,226.80	Active	Inactive
46	Kirkpatrick, James	$16,637.76	Inactive	Active
47	Kuhns, John A	$1,226.96	Active	Inactive
48	Lesley, Calvin	$3,787.76	Inactive	Active
50	McCoy, John	$2,194.72	Inactive	Inactive
51	McFarland, John	$7,649.12	Active	Inactive
52	McKinny, Mary	$4,287.52	Inactive	Inactive
53	Mahin, Wesley	$3,467.68	Active	Active
54	Marsh, Samuel (Montgomery Co)		Inactive	Inactive
55	Miller, Absalm	$3,236.00	Inactive	Active
56	Montgomery, Ann	$4,614.56	Active	Inactive
57	Morin, F.E.	$1,434.72	Active	Active
58	Motsinger, Hester	$2,000.00	Active	Active
59	O'Neal, J. Kelly	$13,848.00	Active	Active
60	Oshier, Aduley	$1,624.88	Active	Active
61	Parker, Lane	$2,141.44	Inactive	Active
62	Parlon, James	$1,649.96	Inactive	Active
63	Peters, Calvin	$828.00	Inactive	Inactive
64	Rayman, Emmett (White Co)		Active	Active
65	Resor, Franklin	$3,407.60	Inactive	Active
66	Rettereth, Peter	$1,120.32	Inactive	Inactive
67	Rickerd, Aurthur	$1,064.80	Inactive	Inactive
68	Ross, Alexander	$1,791.68	Inactive	Inactive
69	Sheperdson, James	$1,536.72	Inactive	Inactive
70	Saltzman, John	$5,740.96	Inactive	Inactive
71	Skinner, Ray	$2,713.60	Active	Active
72	Smith, Abe	$1,277.52	Active	Active
73	Southworth, Mary	$558.08	Active	Active
74	Sterrett, Joseph C	$478.32	Inactive	Active
75	Stewart, William	$765.76	Inactive	Active
76	Swanson, Gustav	$4,965.28	Active	Active
77	Taylor, Alonzo	$1,466.96	Inactive	Inactive
78	Taylor, Jacob	$4,616.08	Inactive	Inactive
79	Toohey, John	$542.40	Inactive	Inactive
81	VanNatta, John	$1,338.16	Inactive	Inactive
82	Wallace, Harrison B.	$5,501.76	Inactive	Inactive
83	Walters, Sussana	$972.24	Inactive	Inactive
84	Walters, William	$8,361.52	Active	Active
85	Waples, McDill	$5,478.08	Inactive	Active
86	Wilder, Lena	$3,365.60	Inactive	Inactive
87	Wilson, Nixon (Fountain Co)		Inactive	Inactive
88	Wilson, J & J	$736.96	Inactive	Inactive
89	Yeager, Simeon	$615.36	Active	Active
90	Yoe, Franklin	$1,605.44	Inactive	Inactive
91	Dickens, Jesse	$288.00	Inactive	Inactive
92	Jenkins	$1,689.24	Inactive	Inactive
93	Dismal Creek	$25,420.16	Active	Active
94	Shawnee Creek	$6,639.28	Active	Active
95	Buetler/Gosma	$19,002.24	Inactive	Active
96	Kirkpatrick One	$6,832.16	Active	Inactive
97	McLaughlin, John	$0.00	Inactive	Inactive
98	Hoffman, John	$72,105.03	Active	Active
99	Brum, Sarah (Benton Co)		Active	Active
100	S.W.Elliott	$227,772.24	Active	Active

DISCUSSION ON TILE BIDS

Mike Spencer presented a tile bid that had been inadvertently returned to the bidder. Fred Hoffman opened the bid.

Mike stated he had received two proposals for Professional Services on the Berlovitz Watershed Study, one from Christopher Burke Engineering and one from Ticen, Schulte and Associates. Mike recommended Christopher Burke Engineering the lowest bidder.

Nola moved to approve the proposal from Christopher Burke Engineering for the Berlovitz Ditch Study. Hubert, seconded. Motion carried.

JOHN HOFFMAN DRAIN

Mike stated to the Board that work will be done on the Hoffman Drain at a cost less than $25,000.00. Since it was under $25,000.00 Mike requested quotes be done on the project rather than bids since quotes are faster.

Mike read the proposal into the minutes.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board is interested in taking quotes for maintenance work on the John Hoffman Ditch, beginning at the tile outlet which is located along County Road 900 East just north of State Road 26 East.

Work will consist of dredging approximately 1000 feet of channel down stream of the tile outlet, cleaning out road culvert under 900 East. Then clearing trees over and along the tile for some 4000 feet to the east.

After the clearing all tile holes will be fixed and or wide joints patched, then the waterway over the tile will be graded as directed by the Surveyor. When all work is completed all disturbed areas will be seeded.

There will be a pre-quote site visit held at the site on February 19th, 1992 at 9:00 am.

Written quotes will be on a per foot basis for dredging, clearing and grading of waterway.

Tile repair will be on time and material basis. Seeding will be lump sum.

Quotes will be due on March 4th at 11:00 am in the Tippecanoe County Auditors Office.

For further information please contact the Tippecanoe County Surveyor, Mike Spencer at 423-9228.

Discussion followed.

Hubert Yount moved to accept quotes for the John Hoffman Drain. Nola, seconded. Motion carried.

HADLEY LAKE DRAIN

Mike stated that West Lafayette Wetland Delineation Study will be done on February 15. We need to have that before we advertise for the proposals for engineering work.

PINE VIEW FARMS

Roger Kottlowski, Weitzel Engineering and Tom Stafford, Melody Homes presented their drainage plans for Pine View Farms to the Drainage Board.

Discussion followed.

Mike Spencer recommended preliminary approval to the Board.

Nola moved to grant preliminary approval contingent on completion of restrictions and receipt of the recorded easements or agreements.

Hubert Yount, seconded. Motion carried.

Being no further business, Hubert Yount moved to adjourn the Drainage Board meeting. The next regular scheduled meeting will March 4 at 8:30 AM and will reconvene at 11:00 AM for quotes on the John Hoffman Drain.

Keith E. McMillin

Keith E. McMillin, Chairman

Nola J. Gentry

Nola J. Gentry, Member

Hubert D. Yount

Hubert D. Yount, Member

ATTEST: Dorothy M. Emerson

Dorothy M. Emerson, Executive Secretary

Tippecanoe County Drainage Board
Minutes TRANSCRIPT
Regular Meeting
January 6, 1993

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, January 6, 1993 in the Community Meeting Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana, with Nola Gentry calling the meeting to order for the re-organization of the Board. She then turned it over to J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Board Attorney to preside.

Those present were: Nola J. Gentry, Hubert Yount, Bill Haan, Tippecanoe County Commissioners, Michael J. Spencer, County Surveyor, Ilene Dailey, Christopher Burke Consulting Engineer, J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Board Attorney, Hans Peterson, Paul Elling, Project Engineers SEC Donohue, Greg Griffith, Great Lakes Chemical Corporation, Josh Andrews, West Lafayette Development Director, Opal Kuhl, West Lafayette City Engineer, and Shelli Hoffine Drainage Board Executive Secretary.

J. Frederick Hoffman, Drainage Board Attorney asked for nominations from the floor for the Board President. Commissioner Gentry nominated Commissioner Haan for President, seconded by Commissioner Yount.
Unanimously approved.

Mr. Hoffman then turned the meeting over to Commissioner Haan to preside over the remainder of the meeting.

Commissioner Haan asked for nominations from the floor for the Board Vice President.
Commissioner Haan nominated Commissioner Gentry for Vice President, seconded by Commissioner Yount.
Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Haan asked for nominations from the floor for the Board Executive Secretary.
Commissioner Gentry nominated Shelli Hoffine for Executive Secretary, seconded by Commissioner Yount.
Unanimously approved.

The first item on the agenda was to approve the minutes of the meeting for the Drainage Board meeting on December 2, 1992. Hubert Yount moved to approve the minutes of December 2, 1992, seconded by Commissioner Gentry. Unanimously approved.

Hire the Attorney
Commissioner Gentry moved to appoint J. Frederick Hoffman as Attorney for the Drainage Board, seconded by Commissioner Yount.
Motion carried.

Active and Inactive Ditches for 1993
Mr. Hoffman suggested putting the active and inactive ditches in the January minutes. Mr. Hoffman also read them aloud to the Board.

ACTIVE DITCHES

Number	Names
2	Anderson, Jesse
3	Andrews, E.W.
4	Anson, Delphine
9	See #103
12	Box, N.W.
13	Brown, Andrew
18	Coe, Train
20	County Farm
22	Daughtery, Charles
26	Darby, Wetherill (Benton Co.)
29	Fassnacht, Christ
34	Haffner, Fred
35	Haywood, E.F.
37	Harrison Meadows
38	Ilgenfritz, George (combined with Dismal)
45	Kirkpatrick, Frank
46	Kirkpatrick, James
48	Lesley, Calvin
49	Lucas, Luther (combined with Dismal)
53	Mahin, Wesley
55	Miller, Absalom
57	Morin, F.E.
58	Motsinger, Hester
59	O'Neal, J. Kelly
60	Oshier, Aduley
61	Parker Lane
62	Parlon, James, (combined with Shawnee)
65	Resor, Franklin
71	Skinner, Ray
72	Smith, Abe
73	Southworth, Mary
74	Sterrett, Joseph C.
76	Swanson, Gustav

84	Walters, William
89	Yeager, Simeon
91	Dickens, Jesse
93	Dismal Creek
94	Shawnee Creek
95	Buetler, Gosma
98	See #101
99	See #102
100	Elliott, S.W.
101	Hoffman, John
102	Brum, Sophia (Benton Co)
103	Moore H.W. (Benton Co)

INACTIVE DITCHES

Number	Names
1	Amstutz, John
5	Baker, Dempsey
6	Baker, Newell
7	Bell, Nellie
8	Berlovitz, Julius
10	Binder, Michael
11	Blickenstaff, John M.
14	Buck Creek (Carroll Co.)
15	Burkhalter, Alfred
16	Byers, Orin J.
17	Coe, Floyd
19	Cole Grant
21	Cripe, Jesse
23	Devault, Fannie
24	Deer Creek
25	Dunkin, Marion
27	Ellis, Thomas
28	Erwin, Martin
30	Fugate, Elijah
31	Gowen, Isaac (White Co.)
32	Gray, Martin
33	Grimes, Rebecca
36	Haywood, Thomas
39	Inskeep, George
40	Jakes, Lewis
41	Johnson, E. Eugene
42	Kellerman, James
43	Kerschner, F.S.
44	Kirkpatrick, Amanda
47	Kuhns, John
50	McCoy, John
51	McFarland, John
52	McKinney, Mary
54	Marsh, Samuel (Montgomery Co)
56	Montgomery, Ann
63	Peters, Calvin
64	Rayman, Emmett (White Co.)
66	Rettereth, Peter
67	Rickerd, Arthur
68	Ross, Alexander
69	Sheperdson, J.A.
70	Saltzman, John
75	Stewart, William
77	Taylor, Alonzo
78	Taylor, Jacob
79	Toohey, John
81	Van Natta, John
82	Wallace, Harrison
83	Walters, Sussana
85	Waples, McDill
86	Wilder, Lena
87	Wilson, Nixon (Fountain Co.)
88	Wilson, J & J
90	Yoe, Franklin
92	Jenkins
96	Kirpatrick One
97	McLaughlin, John

Storm Water Drainage Improvement Plan
Hans Peterson and Paul Elling from SEC Donohue presented the Stormwater Drainage Improvement Plan for the Cuppy-McClure watershed. Mr. Peterson discussed the project overview and objectives, project design criteria and constraints, hydrologic/hydraulic analysis, alternative improvements and recommendations, permits, and the schedule.

Mr Peterson discussed the alternative improvements.
Alternative #1 Low flow pipe and high flow channel.

The cost of the low flow pipe and high flow channel - $930,000.00
The pipe in this alternative would be two to three feet deep under the ground from the Celery Bog to U.S. 52 then opens up and flows under US 52 with the existing pipe, then drops down into another pipe and flows on down to Hadley Lake.

Mr. Hoffman asked how big the pipe would be?

Mr. Peterson answered the pipe ranges in size from 36 inches to 42 inches.

Alternative #2 All pipe improvements.

The cost of all pipe improvements - $1,570,000.00
Pipe size ranges from 54 inches to 60 inches.
This alternative would run completely under the ground from Celery Bog to Hadley Lake that is the main reason for the high cost. Mr. Peterson said this would look the nicest after it is complete.

Alternative #3 All channel improvements.

The cost of all channel improvements - $755,000.00
This alternative does not have any pipe. It is a standard open channel all the way from Celery Bog down to Hadley Lake. There would have to be a concrete lining treatment at the bottom of the channel.

Mr. Peterson recommended alternative was #1 the low flow pipe and high flow channel.

Mr. Hoffman asked on these changes of easement are they giving and taking from the same landowners or taking from some landowners and giving others?
Mr. Peterson said based on the assessment map that we have, it is generally give and take on the same properties except for one parcel. Parcel #13 looks like we are taking.

Mr. Hoffman assumed there will be a petition for reconstruction to make those changes in easement.

Commissioner Gentry answered there will be a reconstruction hearing.

Discussion followed.

Bening no further business Commissioner Gentry moved to adjourn until February 3, 1993 at 8:30 a.m., seconded by Hubert Yount.

Meeting adjourned.

William D. Haan, President

Nola Gentry, Vice President

Hubert Yount, Member

ATTES: Shelli Hoffine
Shelli Hoffine, Executive Secretary

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
JANUARY 5, 1994

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday January 5, 1994 in the Community meeting room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana with William D. Haan calling the meeting to order.

Those present were: Tippecanoe County Commissioners William D. Haan, Nola J. Gentry, Hubert D. Yount; Tippecanoe County Surveyor Michael J. Spencer; Drainage Board Attorney J. Frederick Hoffman; Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Jon Stolz and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Hoffine.

ELECTION OF 1994 OFFICERS
Mr. Hoffman asked nominations for the President of the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board. Commissioner Haan nominated Commissioner Gentry, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Mr. Hoffman turned the meeting over to Commissioner Gentry to preside.

Commissioner Gentry asked nominations for Vice President of the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board. Commissioner Gentry nominated Commissioner Haan, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

-APPOINTMENTS-
Commissioner Haan moved to appoint Shelli Hoffine for Executive Secretary of the Tippecanoe Country Drainage Board, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Haan moved to appoint J. Frederick Hoffman as Attorney for the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board pending an agreement of a contract, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Yount moved to extend the existing contract into 1994 for Christopher Burke Engineering, LTD. to provide engineering services to the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board pending review of the contract, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

-MEETING DATES FOR 1994-

January 5, 1994	July 6, 1994
February 2, 1994	August 3, 1994
March 9, 1994	September 7, 1994
April 6, 1994	October 5, 1994
May 4, 1994	November 2, 1994
June 1, 1994	December 7, 1994

Commissioner Haan moved to accept the meeting dates for the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board, seconded by Commissioner Yount. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Yount moved approve the minutes from the last Drainage Board meeting held December 1, 1993. Seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

CAPILANO BY THE LAKE LOT 5

Joe Bumbleburg asked the Board to approve a resolution for vacation of a drainage easement located on a part of lot 5 in Capilano By the Lake Subdivision, Phase I. The drainage easement ended up in the middle of lot 5 when it was replatted.

Mr. Spencer stated he has been out to the site, Mr. Cunningham of Vester and Associates checked the easement and it definitely will not cause a problem with the lot or any of the adjoining lots. Mr. Spencer recommended the vacation of the drainage easement in lot 5, Capilano By the Lake Subdivision, Phase I.

The petition and the resolution to vacate a portion of a drainage easement on lot 5, Capilano by the lake subdivision, Phase I is on file in the Tippecanoe County Surveyor's Office.

Commissioner Yount moved to approve the resolution to vacate a portion of an easement on lot number 5, Capilano by the Lake Subdivision, Phase I, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved

HAWKS NEST SUBDIVISION, PHASE I
Greg Hall, Intercon Engineering, asked the Board for final approval of Hawks Nest Subdivision, Phase I and the detention ponds for the entire project. Mr. Hall also, requested a variance for exceeding the four foot of depth in Basin A.

Mr. Spencer stated he recommended approval of Phase I and the detention ponds.

Mr. Hall stated there will be eighteen lots in Phase I, one detention basin will be located in this phase.

Commissioner Haan asked if the permits from the IDNR have been processed?

Mr. Stolz stated that the portion that was requiring a permit has been moved from the floodplain and no longer requires a permit.

Commissioner Yount moved to grant the variance to exceed the maximum four foot depth in Basin A, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Yount moved to grant final approval of Hawks Nest Subdivision, Phase I and the detention basin for the entire project, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

TRIPLE J POINTE SUBDIVISION
Bob Grove, representing Smith Enterprises, asked for preliminary approval of Triple J Pointe Subdivision, which involves fifteen acres with 75 lots, located off Old Romney Road and County Road 250 South. The proposal is to detain the water offsite which will hold seventy two acres of offsite runoff, then take the ten year flow through the subdivision to a basin that will hold the 15 acres of developed subdivision, a pipe will carry the runoff from the basin to an existing structure of Ashton Woods Subdivision detention system. The ditch will be used as overflow for runoff that exceeds the 10 year flow.

Commissioner Yount asked if pipe along Old Romney Road would be in the road right-of-way if so, has the County Highway Department approved a permit for the pipe?

Mr. Grove stated yes, we are proposing to put the pipe in the right-of-way and no, we have not obtained a permit from the Highway Department.

Mr. Spencer stated the Highway Department has a set of plans, but he has not heard a report from them.

Commissioner Yount asked about the use of the pond offsite easement?

Mr. Grove stated that G. Mark Smith will be preparing an agreement for the easement.

Mr. Spencer stated John Fisher did a drainage study of the Wea-Ton drainage area, in the report it shows the watershed area delineated certain runoff values for sub-areas within the watershed area. Ashton Woods kept in compliance with the idea for sub-areas to be within the watershed area, at that time, the Board accepted the idea. Ashton Woods created an outlet for the Wea-Ton watershed area and during construction they have created the outlet channel and incorporated their storage area with Old Romney Heights storage area. In the study, there are recommendation about how water moves to the east as development progresses. A pipe was sized under Old Romney Road at the end of the channel to pick up water to the east. Triple J Pointe Subdivision does not comply with this idea as far as construction of proper pipe size under Old Romney Road to convey the water from the east.

Mr. Grove stated Smith Enterprises asked John Fisher for the drainage study, but were not able to obtain a copy. It was decided to make an alternate route from the project's outlet to go along the east side of Old Romney Road in an easement just outside the right-of-way, provide a manhole and a crossing based on a 10 year predeveloped flow from the Wea-Ton area.

Commissioner Gentry suggested getting a meeting set up between the Commissioners, the Surveyor, Smith Enterprises, Mr. Gloyeske, and Mr. Fisher.

Commissioner Yount moved to continue Triple J Pointe Subdivision with Mr. Grove's consent until after the above meeting has been held, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

HARRISON & MCCUTCHEON HIGH SCHOOLS IMPROVEMENTS

Kyle Miller, Triad and Associates, presented the Board with the plans to improve Harrison High School and McCutcheon High School. Harrison and McCutcheon will be adding approximately one acre of roof to the existing structures over what is now parking lot signifying no increase in the volume of runoff for either plan. Harrison's storm sewer pipes run around the perimeter of the school, some of the pipe are undersized and will be replaced along with all new pipe to go around the perimeter of the constructed area. All roof drainage will run into the storm sewer then to an existing pipe and discharge into the **Cole Ditch/"Burnett Creek".** Mr. Miller indicated a portion of one existing outfall pipe will be replaced and a permit from the IDNR is required for construction in the floodway area.

Commissioner Gentry asked what the design is of the outfall pipe into the creek?

Mr. Miller stated there will an end section on the pipe and that rip-rap will be placed on both sides of the banks.

Mr. Miller explained that McCutcheon High School storm sewer pipes run the perimeter of the existing structure and outlets into the **Wea Creek.** The

improvements will replace what is now asphalt and the storm sewer pipe around the perimeter of the constructed area.

Commissioner Yount moved to approve Harrison High School's final improvement plan subject to the approval of the permit from the IDNR, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

Commissioner Yount moved to approve McCutcheon High School's final drainage improvement plan, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

ACTIVE DITCHES FOR 1994

Ditch No.	Ditch Name	Four Year Assessment	Balance Fund 94
2	Anderson, Jesse	$15793.76	$11549.19
3	Andrews, E.W.	2566.80	987.71
4	Anson, Delphine	5122.56	1365.36
8	Berlovitz, Juluis	8537.44	7288.07
13	Brown, Andrew	8094.24	4625.60
14	Buck Creek (Carroll Co.)		
15	Burkhalter, Alfred	5482.96	4285.72
20	County Farm	1012.00	(994.25)
26	Darby, Wetherill (Benton Co.		
27	Ellis, Thomas	1642.40	760.68
29	Fassnacht, Christ	2350.56	965.04
31	Gowen,Issac (White Co.)		
33	Grimes, Rebecca	3363.52	3357.75
37	Harrison Meadows	1532.56	-0-
48	Lesley, Calvin	3787.76	1622.08
53	Mahin, Wesley	3467.68	2864.18
54	Marsh, Samuel (Montgomery Co		
57	Morin, F.E.	1434.72	-0-
58	Motsinger, Hester	2000.00	1090.53
59	O'Neal, J. Kelly	13848.00	7398.17
60	Oshier, Aduley	1624.88	-0-
64	Rayman, Emmett (White Co.)		
67	Rickerd, Arthur	1064.80	842.58
71	Skinner, Ray	2713.60	(64.53)
72	Smith, Abe	1277.52	1053.33
73	Southworth, Mary	558.08	314.04
74	Sterrett, Joseph C.	478.32	-0-
76	Swanson, Gustav	4965.28	(1473.83)
84	Walters, William	8361.52	6716.94
87	Wilson, Nixon (Fountain Co.)		
89	Yeager, Simeon	615.36	342.15
91	Dickens, Jesse	288.00	-0-
93	Dismal Creek	25420.16	86.15
94	**Shawnee Creek**	**6639.28**	**-0-**
95	Buetler, Gosma	19002.24	16368.00
100	Elliott, S.W.	227772.24	76956.82
101	Hoffman, John	72105.03	34631.86
102	Brum, Sophia (Benton Co)		
103	Moore H.W. (Benton Co)		
104	Hadley Lake	65344.56	4402.77
105	Thomas, Mary (Carroll Co)		
106	Arbegust-Young (Clinton Co)		

INACTIVE DITCHES FOR 1994

Ditch No.	Ditch Names	Four Year Assessment	Balance Fund 94
1	Amstutz, John	$5008.00	$5566.86
5	Baker, Dempsey	2374.24	2814.71
6	Baker, Newell	717.52	2016.73
7	Bell, Nellie	1329.12	2077.51
10	Binder, Michael	4388.96	5513.73
11	Blickenstaff, John M.	7092.80	7994.87
12	Box, N.W.	11650.24	15333.92
16	Byers, Orin J.	5258.88	7337.50
17	Coe, Floyd	13617.84	18262.88
18	Coe, Train	3338.56	7923.36
19	Cole Grant	4113.92	9940.56
21	Cripe, Jesse	911.28	1557.87
22	Daughtery, Charles	1883.12	2290.95
23	Devault, Fannie	3766.80	7764.58
25	Dunkin, Marion	9536.08	12390.41
28	Erwin, Martin	656.72	1095.68
30	Fugate, Elijah	3543.52	5114.39
32	Gray, Martin	6015.52	8253.80
34	Hafner, Fred	1263.44	1559.07
35	Haywood, E.F.	7348.96	7564.29
36	Haywood, Thomas	2133.12	2799.85
39	Inskeep, George	3123.84	7655.03
40	Jakes, Lewis	5164.24	6026.73
41	Johnson, E. Eugene	10745.28	14592.35
42	Kellerman, James	1043.52	1063.29
43	Kerschner, F.S.	1844.20	4618.29
44	Kirkpatrick, Amanda	2677.36	3110.15
45	Kirkpatrick, Frank	4226.80	4440.35
46	Kirkpatrick, James	16637.76	16816.54
47	Kuhns, John	1226.96	1528.87
50	McCoy, John	2194.72	3182.80
51	McFarland, John	7649.12	8766.27
52	McKinney, Mary	4287.52	5791.10
55	Miller, Absalm	3236.00	5168.30
56	Montgomery, Ann	4614.56	5250.77
61	Parker Lane	2141.44	3261.19
63	Peters, Calvin	828.00	2327.12
65	Resor, Franklin	3407.60	5659.22
66	Rettereth, Peter	1120.32	1975.43
68	Ross, Alexander	1791.68	3895.39
69	Sheperdson, J.A.	1536.72	3609.60
70	Saltzman, John	5740.96	6920.20
75	Stewart, William	765.76	900.58
77	Taylor, Alonzo	1466.96	3447.90
78	Taylor, Jacob	4616.08	6544.52
79	Toohey, John	542.40	1069.50
81	Van Natta, John	1338.16	2714.51
82	Wallace, Harrison	5501.76	6573.81
83	Walters, Sussana	972.24	2061.09
85	Waples, McDill	5478.08	9188.51
86	Wilder, Lena	3365.60	4921.20
88	Wilson, J & J	736.96	5639.22

90	Yoe, Franklin	1605.44	2509.75
92	Jenkins	1689.24	2549.43
96	Kirpatrick One	6832.16	11352.18
97	McLaughlin, John		

OTHER BUSINESS
Mr. Spencer asked if section six, letter F of the Drainage Ordinance, Submittal and Consideration of Plans, could be clarified to clear up questions pertain to the twenty days submittal deadline being twenty working days or twenty calendar days.

Commissioner Yount suggested changing the twenty days to thirty calendar days and requiring a review memo from the County Engineering Consultant to the petitioner, ten days prior to the hearing date.

Mr. Hoffman stated he will write an amendment to the Drainage Ordinance, letter F in section six, Submittal and Consideration of Plans, to change the twenty days submittal to thirty calendars days and the Surveyor will make a report to the petitioners not less than ten days prior to the hearing date.

GREAT LAKES CHEMICAL
Mr. Spencer stated all the landowners along the proposed channel have been informed of the Great Lakes project, the County has a complete set of construction plans, a drainage report, and Army Corp of Engineers permit. The County does not have IDNR or the IDEM, but those have been filed and should be approved soon. Ken Baldwin had some question for insurance reasons on fencing around the sediment basin before the water goes into **Hadley Lake**. The County will contribute $700,000.00 dollars out of that the County has spent approx $150,000.00 on Engineering, the Engineer's construction estimate is 1,040,000.00.

Commissioner Gentry asked what the time table is on advertising for reconstruction, and does the project have to be advertised before the bidding or concurrent with the bid process?

Mr. Hoffman stated the advertising has to be done before the bid processing. The County would have to give thirty to forty day notice and then have the hearing, if approved the bidding can go out, all that together would take about three months.

Judy Rhodes asked if there was any legal document showing West Lafayette committing to an agreement of participation in this project?

Commissioner Gentry stated that the County has a signed worksheet by Nola J. Gentry and Mayor Sonya Margerum showing the break down of contribution between the State of Indiana, Tippecanoe County and the City of West Lafayette for Great Lakes Chemical Corporation/**Cuppy McClure** watershed project

Ms. Rhodes asked and received a copy of the worksheet.

Being no further business Commissioner Yount moved to adjourn until February 2, 1994, seconded by Commissioner Haan. Unanimously approved.

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
FEBRUARY 1, 1995

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday February 1, 1995 in the Community meeting room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North Third Street, Lafayette, Indiana with William D. Haan calling the meeting to order.

Those present were: Tippecanoe County Commissioners William D. Haan, Nola J. Gentry, Gene Jones; Tippecanoe County Surveyor Michael J. Spencer; Drainage Board Attorney pro-tem David Luhman; and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

The first item on the agenda was to approve the minutes from the last Drainage Board Meeting held January 4, 1995. Commissioner Gentry moved to approve the minutes, Seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

ACTIVE AND INACTIVE DITCH LIST 1995
Mr. Luhman read the active ditch list into the minutes.

Ditch No.	Ditch Name	Four Year Assessment	Balance Fund 94
2	Anderson, Jesse	15793.76	$15745.45
3	Andrews, E.W.	2566.80	1385.41
4	Anson, Delphine	5122.56	1302.37
13	Brown, Andrew	8094.24	5365.93
14	Buck Creek (Carroll Co.)		
16	Byers, Orrin	5258.88	4453.68
18	Coe Train	3338.56	112.19
20	County Farm	1012.00	(724.45)
26	Darby, Wetherill (Benton Co.		
27	Ellis, Thomas	1642.40	874.96
29	Fassnacht, Christ	2350.56	630.15
31	Gowen,Issac (White Co.)		
33	Grimes, Rebecca	3363.52	(5780.23)
35	Haywood, E.F.	7348.96	6405.57
37	Harrison Meadows	1532.56	399.99
42	Kellerman, James	1043.52	513.73
46	Kirkpatrick, James	16637.76	13804.40
48	Lesley, Calvin	3787.76	511.43
51	McFarland, John	7649.12	6823.11
52	McKinney, Mary	4287.52	2344.53
54	Marsh, Samuel (Montgomery Co		
57	Morin, F.E.	1434.72	264.90
58	Motsinger, Hester	2000.00	184.36
59	O'Neal, J. Kelly	13848.00	9902.13
60	Oshier, Aduley	1624.88	429.56
64	Rayman, Emmett (White Co.)		
65	Reser, Franklin	3407.60	(1799.25)
71	Skinner, Ray	2713.60	2003.50
73	Southworth, Mary	558.08	470.62
74	Sterrett, Joseph C.	478.32	120.35
76	Swanson, Gustav	4965.28	(314.21)
87	Wilson, Nixon (Fountain Co.)		
89	Yeager, Simeon	615.36	515.63

91	Dickens, Jesse	288.00	93.96
93	Dismal Creek	25420.16	5408.64
94	Shawnee Creek	6639.28	1004.91
100	Elliott, S.W.	227772.24	95756.64
102	Brum, Sophia (Benton Co)		
103	Moore H.W. (Benton Co)		
104	Hadley Lake	65344.56	15588.62
105	Thomas, Mary (Carroll Co)		
106	Arbegust-Young (Clinton Co)		

Mr. Luhman read the inactive ditch list into the minutes

Ditch No.	Ditch Names	Four Year Assessment	Balance Fund 94
1	Amstutz, John	$5008.00	$5797.94
5	Baker, Dempsey	2374.24	2931.55
6	Baker, Newell	717.52	2100.45
7	Bell, Nellie	1329.12	2163.76
8	Berlowitz, Julius	8537.44	9835.71
10	Binder, Michael	4388.96	4844.52
11	Blickenstaff, John M.	7092.80	7352.92
12	Box, N.W.	11650.24	14523.89
15	Burkhalter, Alfred	5482.96	5661.22
17	Coe, Floyd	13617.84	19021.00
19	Cole Grant	4113.92	10353.24
21	Cripe, Jesse	911.28	1622.55
22	Daughtery, Charles	1883.12	2386.04
23	Devault, Fannie	3766.80	8086.91
25	Dunkin, Marion	9536.08	11422.15
28	Erwin, Martin	656.72	1141.16
30	Fugate, Elijah	3543.52	5326.70
32	Gray, Martin	6015.52	6440.23
34	Hafner, Fred	1263.44	1380.75
36	Haywood, Thomas	2133.12	2916.09
39	Inskeep, George	3123.84	7972.80
40	Jakes, Lewis	5164.24	5493.58
41	Johnson, E. Eugene	10745.28	13692.14
43	Kerschner, F.S.	1844.20	4165.28
44	Kirkpatrick, Amanda	2677.36	3239.28
45	Kirkpatrick, Frank	4226.80	4754.52
47	Kuhns, John	1226.96	1592.33
50	McCoy, John	2194.72	3185.39
53	Mahin, Wesley	3467.68	3878.12
55	Miller, Absalm	3236.00	5382.84
56	Montgomery, Ann	4614.56	5468.74
61	Parker Lane	2141.44	3276.36
63	Peters, Calvin	828.00	2423.73
66	Rettereth, Peter	1120.32	2057.43
67	Rickerd, Arthur	1064.80	1148.17
68	Ross, Alexander	1791.68	4057.08
69	Sheperdson, J.A.	1536.72	3759.44
70	Saltzman, John	5740.96	7207.47

72	Smith, Abe	1277.52	1430.16
75	Stewart, William	765.76	937.96
77	Taylor, Alonzo	1466.96	3591.02
78	Taylor, Jacob	4616.08	6759.96
79	Toohey, John	542.40	1113.90
81	Van Natta, John	1338.16	2827.20
82	Wallace, Harrison	5501.76	6195.61
83	Walters, Sussana	972.24	2146.65
84	Walters, William	8361.52	8906.49
85	Waples, McDill	5478.08	9569.95
86	Wilder, Lena	3365.60	5125.49
88	Wilson, J & J	736.96	5873.30
90	Yoe, Franklin	1605.44	2613.93
92	Jenkins	1689.24	2655.25
95	Butler-Gosma	19002.24	20988.51
96	Kirkpatrick One	6832.16	11653.93
97	McLauglin, John		
101	Hoffman, John	72105.03	55880.51

Mr. Spencer stated the John Hoffman Ditch is on a three year assessment which started in 1991 with a ten dollar an acre assessment. It is now necessary for the Board to schedule a meeting between Clinton, Carroll and Tippecanoe Counties to reduce the assessment.

Commissioner Haan appointed himself and Commissioner Gentry to serve on the Tri County Board.

CHRISTOPHER B. BURKE ENGINEERING CONTRACT

Mr. Luhman stated after reviewing the original contract from Christopher B. Burke Engineering a few items were discussed and changes were made. The contract was revised with one exception on page 6 paragraph 24. The suggested revision was if a contractor was doing work based upon the Engineers plans the contractor would indemnify Burke for any damages to Burke because of the contractors negligence. Also suggested was to include Burke as a named insured on the insurance policy. Mr. Luhman explained the main reason for the suggestion was so the County and Christopher B. Burke Engineering would not be held liable.

Commissioner Gentry moved to approve the contract with Christopher B. Burke Engineering, LTD., and authorize the President of the Board to sign the contract, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Spencer presented the Board with the reforestation proposal for the Cuppy-McClure Drain, which will comply with the DNR requirements for a 2 to 1 mitigation on tree removal. The Parks Department for the City of West Lafayette suggested sites for the trees replacement. Mr. Spencer explained he wanted the Board to be aware of the progress and that Mr. Ditzler of J.F. New will submit the plan to Dan Ernst of the Indiana Department of Natural Resources.

Being no further business, Commissioner Gentry moved to adjourn until March 1, 1995, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Meeting adjourned.

DRAINAGE BOARD MINUTES FEBRUARY 1, 1995 REGULAR MEETING

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
JANUARY 3, 1996

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday January 3, 1996 in the Commissioners Meeting Room of the Tippecanoe County Courthouse, Lafayette, Indiana with William D. Haan calling the meeting to order.

Those present were: Tippecanoe County Commissioners William D. Haan, Nola J. Gentry, and Gene Jones; Tippecanoe County Surveyor Michael J. Spencer; Drainage Board Attorney J. Frederick Hoffman; Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger, and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS
The first item on the agenda was to elect new officers for 1996.

Mr. Hoffman opened the floor to nominations for President.

Commissioner Haan nominated Commissioner Gentry.

Commissioner Haan moved to close nominations for president, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried, Commissioner Gentry was elected.

Mr. Hoffman turned the meeting over to the President.

Commissioner Gentry asked for nominations for Vice President.

Commissioner Haan nominated Commissioner Jones for Vice President.

Commissioner Haan moved to close nominations for Vice President, Commissioner Gentry seconded. Motioned carried, Commissioner Jones was elected.

APPOINTMENTS TO THE BOARD
The next item on the agenda is to renew the contracts with Hoffman, Luhman & Busch as the law firm.

Commissioner Haan moved to renew the 1995 contract with Hoffman, Luhman and Busch, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Mr. Spencer presented the Board with two proposals for the contract with Christopher B. Burke Engineering Limited.

1) A proposal for professional engineering services on a varied rate depending on specified standard charges.

2) a proposal for professional engineering services on a fixed rate of $50.00 per hour.

Commissioner Gentry asked for a report on the number of engineering review hours in 1995 for all the projects submitted in 1995. The discussion of which contract to be used will be continued at the February meeting.

Commissioner Haan moved to extend the 1995 contract with Christopher B. Burke Engineering Limited for one month into 1996, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Haan moved to reappoint Shelli Muller as Drainage Board Secretary for 1996, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

1996 ACTIVE/INACTIVE DITCH LIST
Mr. Hoffman asked for the active and inactive ditches to be placed in the minutes.

Commissioner Haan moved to place the 1996 active/inactive ditch list the minutes, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

1996 - ACTIVE/INACTIVE DITCH LIST

ACTIVE
E.W. ANDREW, ANSON-DEPHINE, JULIUS BERLOWITZ, BEUTLER-GOSMA, ANDREW BROWN, TRAIN COE, COUNTY FARM, THOMAS ELLIS, FASSNACHT-CRIST, REBECCA GRIMES, HARRISON MEADOWS, EUGENE JOHNSON, JAMES KELLERMAN, AMANDA KIRKPATRICK, FRANK KIRKPATRICK, JAMES KIRKPATRICK, CALVIN LESLEY, MARY MCKINNEY, F.E. MORIN, KESTER MOTSINGER, J. KELLY O'NEAL, AUDLEY OSHIER, FRANKLIN RESER, SKINNER RAY, JOSEPH STERRETT, GUSTAV SWANSON, JACOB TAYLOR, JESSE DICKENS, DISMAL CREEK, SHAWNEE CREEK, SAMUEL ELLIOTT, JOHN HOFFMAN, BUCK CREEK, DARBY-WETHERHILL, ISSAC GOWEN, SAMUEL MARSH, EMMETT RAYMAN, WILSON-NIXON, SOPHIA BRUMM, H.W. MOORE, MARY THOMAS, ARBEGUST-YOUNG

INACTIVE
JOHN AMSTUZ, JESSE ANDERSON, DEMPSEY BAKER, BAKER VS NEWELL, NELLIE BALL, MICHAEL BINDER, JOHN BLICKENSTAFF, NATHANIEL BOX, ALFRED BURKHALTER, ORIN BYERS, FLOYD COE, GRANT COLE, JESSE CRIPE, CHARLES DAUGHERTY, FANNIE DEVAULT, MARION DUNKIN, MARTIN ERVIN, ELIJAH FUGATE, MARTIN GRAY, FRED HAFNER, E.F. HAYWOOD, THOMAS HAYWOOD, GEORGE INSKEEP, LEWIS JAKES, FLOYD KERSCHNER, JOHN KUHNS, JOHN MCCOY, JOHN MCFARLAND, WESLEY MAHIN, ABSOLEM MILLER, ANN MONTGOMERY, PARKER LANE, CALVIN PETER, PETER RETTERETH, ARTHUR RICHERD, ALEXANDER ROSS, JAMES SHEPHERDSON, JOHN SALZMAN, ABE SMITH, MARY SOUTHWORTH, WILLIAM STEWART, ALONZO TAYLOR, JOHN TOOHEY, JOHN VANNATTA, HARRISON WALLACE, SUSSANA WALTERS, WILLIAM WALTERS, WAPLES-MCDILL, LENA WILDER, J&J WILSON, SIMEON YEAGER, FRANKLIN YOE, JENKINS, KIRKPATRICK ONE, MCLAUGHLIN, JOHN HOFFMAN

Commissioner Gentry mentioned the ditches that are in red:
COUNTY FARM, REBECCA GRIMES, FRANKLIN RESER, GUSTAV SWANSON

Mr. Spencer read a letter he received from Betty J. Michael.

"December 29, 1995

Nola J. Gentry, President
Board of Commissioners

Michael J. Spencer
County Surveyor

Re: Interest on Drainage Funds

At the Fall County Auditor's Conference held by the State Board of Accounts, a session was held concerning drainage ditches, charges, billings, investments, interest, etc.

The County Board of Accounts supervisors instructed the Auditors and personnel concerning the above issues. We were informed that most Counties put interest earned on Drainage funds into the County General Fund since County general pays for expenses such as tax bills, Surveyor and Drainage Board Budgets.

An alternative in some cases is to credit this interest to the County Drain Fund (unapportioned). When we inquired about the feasibility of apportioning the monthly interest into more that 100 separate drainage funds, the answer was a dead silence of incredibility that this was being done.

We have double-checked this information with District Board of Accounts personnel and have been told that there is nothing in the statutes that mandates interest should go into each Drain fund or even into the County General Drain Fund.

Therefore, as of January 1, 1996, we will be willing to allocate the monthly interest to either the General Drain Fund or to the County General Fund but NOT to each individual Drain account. Please let me know your preference.

Sincerely,

Betty J. Michael"

Mr. Hoffman stated the ditches are trust funds and the landowners in the watershed areas know the ditches are earning interest, it would not be appropriate to discontinue the investment.

Commissioner Haan moved to direct Mr. Hoffman to write a letter stating per the agreement that was made when the ditches were established the interest was to be allocated, but the Board is willing to distribute the interest on a semimonthly bases to coincide with the spring & fall settlements, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Haan moved to approve the 1996 Drainage Board schedule, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Commissioner Haan moved to approve the minutes from the December 6, 1995 Drainage Board meeting, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

BRENTWOOD COMMUNITY
Mr. Spencer stated Brentwood Manufacture Home Community is located off US52 West, South of the Elk's Country Club. They asked for preliminary drainage approval, which he recommended as long as the IDNR approved the construction within a floodway. There are approximately 280 lots on 60 acres with a dry bottom retention pond.

Mr. Spencer explained the retention pond does not comply with the Ordinance therfore the developer is asking for a variance. The Ordinance requires a 48 hour discharge time, the plans actual peak discharge is closer to 75 hours.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant preliminary approval to Brentwood Community contingent on the approval of construction in a floodway from IDNR, revised calculations and the request for the variance to the Ordinance, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

SOUTHERN MEADOWS

Mr. Spencer recommended granting Southern Meadows Subdivision final approval. The development is located at the corner of South 18th Street and 350 South within the City of Lafayette. Mr. Spencer explained the development needs approval from the County Drainage Board because it drains to the Elliott Ditch. At the Urban review meetings it was determined any development below the railroad tracks draining into Elliott Ditch would be allowed to direct release into the Ditch without onsite detention. The development includes a water amenity onsite, which water will flow into and out, but is not being planned as a detention pond and does not comply with the requirements of the Ordinance. Mr. Spencer had a question as to whether or not the pond would have to comply with the requirements of the Ordinance.

Mr. Hoffman stated the pond would not have to meet the Ordinance requirements as long as it does not affect the drainage.

Mr. Spencer explained the site drains to the pond.

Commissioner Haan stated if the majority of the site drains to the pond it is a retention pond and should meet the requirements of the Ordinance.

Ron Miller, Schneider Engineering, stated the current discharge in a one hour storm duration to Elliott is 2.7 hours. With the installation of a 42 inch pipe draining from the water amenity discharge into the Elliott in a one hour storm will be a little over an hour.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant final approval of Southern Meadows Subdivision with the condition the pond meets the Drainage Board Ordinance requirement for a non-fenced pond, seconded Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

VILLAGE PANTRY #564R

Mr. Spencer introduced Village Pantry #564R, which is located at the corner of Brady and Concord, East of the existing Village Pantry. Weihe Engineering submitted final drainage plans and after the review it was recommended to grant final approval with the variance of a 12 inch pipe to a 10 inch concrete pipe for the outfall of the proposed detention area in order to limit the discharge.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant the variance of the Ordinance from a 12 inch required pipe to a 10 inch proposed pipe, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant final approval of Village Pantry #564R, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

PETITION TO ESTABLISH O'FERRALL LEGAL DRAIN
Mr. Hoffman excused himself from the meeting 9:45 a.m.

Mr. Spencer asked the Board to acknowledge the petition to establish the O'Ferral Legal Drain, branch of the Alexander Ross Ditch as a valid petition.

Commissioner Haan moved to acknowledge the petition as a valid petition to establish the O'Ferrall Legal Drain, branch of the Alexander Ross Ditch and the petition represents over 10 percent of the effect landowners, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Mr. Hoffman returned to the meeting at 9:57 a.m.

ALEXANDER ROSS DITCH EASEMENT REDUCTION
Mr. Spencer explained on the Meijer site two branches of the Alexander Ross Ditch were described, one on the Southeast corner of the site and the other along the West side of the site. After the construction of the site it was discovered the pipe described along the West side of the site is not actually on the Meijer site. Meijer is asking the description of the pipe on the West side be corrected and the easement on the Southeast corner be reduced from 75 feet to 25 feet center of the pipe either side.

Mr. Hoffman stated Mr. Spencer will have to define the easement as only being on the Southeast corner of the site and redefine the easement on the West side of the property.

Commissioner Haan moved to reduce the easement of the Alexander Ross Ditch located at the Southeast corner of the Meijer site from 75 feet to 25 feet either side of the center of the pipe, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Haan moved to direct Mr. Spencer to correct the Survey maps to show the actual location of the Alexander Ross Ditch and document that the ditch does not run through the West side of the Meijer property, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Commissioner Gentry asked Mr. Spencer to do a field check on the erosion of the Alexander Ross Ditch bank behind Meadowbrook Subdivision.

SANWIN APARTMENTS
Bob Grove presented the Board with Sanwin Apartments drainage plan and asked for preliminary approval. Located North of US52 West and East of County Road 250 West, the site consist of 3.11 acres and is planned to include a multi-family development with 63 units and a commercial area along the highway. After review from Christopher B. Burke Engineering consultant a revised preliminary plan was submitted addressing the concerns of the memo. The majority of the site, in the

revised plan, drains to the Northeast and Ken Baldwin will provide a 20 foot easement for a 12 inch outlet pipe that runs from the Northeast corner of the site to the existing McClure Ditch.

Commissioner Haan moved to grant preliminary approval of Sanwin Apartments, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried.

Cuppy-McClure - update
Mr. Spencer stated the notices for the hearing to be held February 7, 1996 on the reconstruction of the Cuppy-McClure Drain were sent January 2, 1996.

Mr. Spencer stated RUST Environmental & Infrastructure has submitted several proposals for construction inspection.

Commissioner Gentry suggested Mr. Spencer get other bids for the construction inspection or consider in-house inspections.

Being no further business Commissioner Haan moved to adjourn until February 7, 1996, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Meeting adjourned.

DRAINAGE BOARD MINUTES JANUARY 3, 1996 REGULAR MEETING

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
FEBRUARY 5, 1997

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday February 5, 1997 in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, Lafayette, Indiana with Commissioner Hudson calling the meeting to order.

Those present: Tippecanoe County Commissioners Kathleen Hudson and Gene Jones, Tippecanoe County Surveyor Michael J. Spencer, Tippecanoe County Drainage Board Attorney Cy Gerde, Engineering Consultant David Eichelberger, and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

Commissioner Hudson stated Commissioner Chase resigned Monday February 3, 1997 which created a vacancy in the position of Vice President to the Drainage Board. She nominated Commissioner Jones to fill the vacancy, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried to elect Commissioner Jones as Drainage Board Vice President.

The first item on the agenda was to approve the minutes from the meeting held December 11, 1996. Commissioner Jones moved to approve the minutes, seconded by Commissioner Hudson. Motion carried.

Commissioner Jones moved to approve the minutes of the last meeting held January 8, 1997, seconded by Commissioner Hudson. Motion carried.

Mr. Gerde asked for the active and inactive ditch list to be placed in the minutes and a motion be made to approve the list.

ACTIVE DITCH LIST 1997

DITCH NO	DITCH	PRICE PER ACRE	4 YEAR ASSESSMENT	TOTAL BALANCE	1996 YEAR END
4	Anson, Delphine	$1.00	$5,122.56	$2,677.72	
8	Berlovitz, Juluis	$1.25	$8,537.44	($2,933.43)	
13	Brown, A P	$1.00	$8,094.24	$7,921.94	
14	Buck Creek	$0.00		$1,385.55	
15	Burkhalter, Alfred	$1.50	$5,482.96		$4,129.61
18	Coe, Train	$0.50	$3,338.56	$1,306.84	
20	County Farm	$1.00	$1,012.00	($381.25)	
25	Dunkin, Marion	$1.50	$9,536.08		$9,285.65
26	Darby, Wetherill	$1.50		$1,106.43	
27	Ellis, Thomas	$1.00	$1,642.40		$1,483.50
29	Fassnacht, Christ	$0.75	$2,350.56	$2,124.49	
31	Gowen, Issac	$0.00			$101.76
33	Grimes, Rebecca	$3.00	$3,363.52	($10,770.77)	
35	Haywood, E.F.	$0.50	$7,348.96		$1,283.61
37	Harrison, Meadows	$1.00	$1,532.56	$463.71	
41	Johnson, E. Eugene	$3.00	$10,745.28		$8,137.10
42	Kellerman, James	$0.50	$1,043.52	$693.98	
43	Kerschner, Floyd	$1.00	$1,844.20	($2,254.41)	
44	Kirkpatrick, Amanda	$1.00	$2,677.36		$781.97
45	Kirkpatrick, Frank	$1.00	$4,226.80	($7,821.61)	
48	Lesley, Calvin	$1.00	$3,787.76		$2,440.88
51	McFarland, John	$0.50	$7,649.12	$7,160.70	

54	Marsh, Samuel		$0.00		$0.00
55	Miller, Absalm		$0.75	$3,236.00	$2,221.92
57	Morin, F.E.	$1.00	$1,434.72	($1,130.43)	
58	Motsinger, Hester	$0.75	$2,000.00	($348.42)	
59	O'Neal, J. Kelly	$1.50	$13,848.00	($1,975.03)	
60	Oshier, Aduley		$0.50	$1,624.88	$1,048.80
64	Rayman, Emmett	$0.00		$326.57	
65	Resor, Franklin	$1.00	$3,407.60	($2,025.96)	
74	Sterrett, Joseph	$0.35	$478.32	$276.65	
76	Swanson, Gustav	$1.00	$4,965.28	$1,351.62	
82	Wallace, Harrison		$0.75	$5,501.76	$5,408.79
84	Walters, William	$0.00	$8,361.52	$7,999.20	
87	Wilson, Nixon		$1.00		$158.62
89	Yeager, Simeon		$1.00	$615.36	($523.86)
91	Dickens, Jesse		$0.30	$288.00	$206.26
93	Dismal Creek		$1.00	$25,420.16	$8,652.86
94	**Shawnee Creek**		**$1.00**	**$6,639.28**	**$3,411.51**
95	Buetler/Gosma		$1.10	$19,002.24	$9,981.77
100	S.W.Elliott	$0.75	$227,772.24	$174,474.74	
102	Brum, Sarah		$1.00		
103	H W Moore Lateral				
104	Hadley Lake Drain	$0.00		$38,550.17	
105	Thomas, Mary		$0.00		
106	Arbegust-Young	$0.00			
108	High Gap Road	$13.72			0.00
109	Romney Stock Farm	$12.13			0.00

INACTIVE DITCH LIST 1997

	DITCH	PER ACRE	PRICE	TOTAL 4 YEAR ASSESSMENT	1996 BALANCE	YEAR END
1	Amstutz, John		$3.00	$5,008.00	$5,709.97	
2	Anderson, Jesse	$1.00	$15,793.76	$21,291.57		
3	Andrews, E.W.		$2.50	$2,566.80	$2,847.14	
5	Baker, Dempsey		$1.00	$2,374.24	$3,270.71	
6	Baker, Newell		$1.00	$717.52	$2,343.45	
7	Ball, Nellie		$1.00	$1,329.12	$2,414.08	
10	Binder, Michael	$1.00	$4,388.96	$5,244.63		
11	Blickenstaff, John		$1.00	$7,092.80	$8,094.49	
12	Box, NW		$0.75	$11,650.24		$15,935.84
16	Byers, Orrin		$0.75	$5,258.88	$5,266.89	
17	Coe, Floyd	$1.75	$13,617.84	$19,495.56		
19	Cole, Grant	$1.00	$4,113.92	$9,688.52		
21	Cripe, Jesse		$0.50	$911.28	$1,810.25	
22	Daughtery, Charles		$1.00	$1,883.12	$2,662.08	

23	Devault, Fannie	$1.00	$3,766.80	$8,650.12	
28	Erwin, Martin V	$1.00	$656.72	$1,273.19	
30	Fugate, Elijah	$1.00	$3,543.52	$6,272.90	
32	Gray, Martin	$1.00	$6,015.52	$7,478.52	
34	Hafner, Fred	$1.00	$1,263.44	$1,336.75	
36	Haywood, Thomas	$1.00	$2,133.12	$3,253.45	
39	Inskeep, George	$1.00	$3,123.84	$8,267.68	
40	Jakes, Lewis	$1.00	$5,164.24	$6,039.76	
46	Kirkpatrick, James	$1.00	$16,637.76		$21,244.63
47	Kuhns, John A	$0.75	$1,226.96	$1,467.00	
50	McCoy, John	$1.00	$2,194.72	$3,009.24	
52	McKinny, Mary	$1.00	$4,287.52	$4,326.98	
53	Mahin, Wesley	$3.00	$3,467.68	$4,346.05	
56	Montgomery, Ann	$1.00	$4,614.56	$4,717.40	
61	Parker, Lane	$1.00	$2,141.44	$3,658.56	
63	Peters, Calvin	$1.00	$828.00	$2,704.13	
66	Rettereth, Peter	$0.75	$1,120.32	$1,511.11	
67	Rickerd, Aurthur	$3.00	$1,064.80	$1,281.00	
68	Ross, Alexander	$0.75	$1,791.68	$4,348.39	
69	Sheperdson, James	$0.75	$1,536.72	$4,194.37	
70	Saltzman, John	$2.00	$5,740.96	$6,867.50	
71	Skinner, Ray	$1.00	$2,713.60	$2,961.68	
72	Smith, Abe	$1.00	$1,277.52	$1,595.63	
73	Southworth, Mary	$0.30	$558.08	$677.23	
75	Stewart, William	$1.00	$765.76	$1,046.47	
77	Taylor, Alonzo	$1.00	$1,466.96	$4,006.46	
78	Taylor, Jacob	$0.75	$4,616.08	$5,066.61	
79	Toohey, John	$1.00	$542.40	$1,207.75	
81	VanNatta, John	$0.35	$1,338.16	$3,089.01	
83	Walters, Sussana	$0.75	$972.24	$2,395.01	
85	Waples, McDill	$1.00	$5,478.08	$9,781.97	
86	Wilder, Lena	$1.00	$3,365.60	$5,718.48	
88	Wilson, J & J	$0.50	$736.96	$6,552.77	
90	Yoe, Franklin	$1.00	$1,605.44	$2,916.35	
92	Jenkins	$1.00	$1,689.24	$3,014.50	
96	Kirkpatrick One	$0.00	$6,832.16	$13,956.64	
97	McLaughlin, John	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	
101	Hoffman, John	$1.00	$72,105.03		$3,502.62

Commissioner Jones moved to approve the active and inactive ditches for 1997, seconded by Commissioner Hudson. Motion carried.

1997 CONTRACTS
ENGINEERING CONTRACT
Mr. Gerde stated he commends the contract written for Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Limited, but some verbiage was changed to better protect the County's interest.

Mr. Eichelberger stated the changes will be made and the contract ready for signature at the March meeting.

ATTORNEY CONTRACT
Mr. Gerde stated the contract for Drainage Board Attorney is ready for approval and the signature of the Drainage Board. The contract is the same format as Mr. Hoffman's contract with a few changes; date, name and hourly rate changed to $140.00 per hour also, the last paragraph was added to the contract.

Commissioner Hudson read the paragraph that was added:

"All parties hereto agree not to discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment with respect to his hire tenure, terms, conditions or privileges of employment or any matter directly or indirectly related to employment, because of his race, religion, color, sex, disability, handicap, national origin or ancestry. Breach of this convenient may be regarded as a material breach of the contract."

Commissioner Jones moved to approve the contract for Drainage Board Attorney, seconded by Commissioner Hudson. Motion carried. The entire contract is on file in the County Surveyor's Office.

JAMES N. KIRKPATRICK DITCH

Mr. Spencer asked that the **James N. Kirkpatrick Ditch** proposal discussion be continued until the March meeting allowing time to fill the vacancy of the third Drainage Board member.

Commissioner Hudson moved to continue the discussion of the James N. Kirkpatrick Ditch proposals until the March Drainage Board Meeting, seconded by Commissioner Jones. Motion carried

OBSTRUCTION OF DRAINS

Mr. Spencer referred to the following "PETITION TO TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD TO REMOVE OBSTRUCTION IN MUTUAL DRAIN OF MUTUAL SURFACE WATERCOURSE" the "DRAINAGE BOARDS POWER EXTENDED TO PRIVATE DRAINS" article in "Indiana Prairie Farmer" and Indiana Code amendment act No. 1277. All of these documents are on file in the County Surveyor's Office. Mr. Spencer wanted the Commissioners to be aware of and have a discussion on this issue. Mr. Spencer felt this law was to protect against man-made obstructions and asked Mr. Gerde to examine the possibility of the law including natural obstructions.

Mr. Gerde gave an example of where this law could be taken into effect. The first being on North 9th Street Road, north of Burnetts Road, the current condition causes water to travel across the road producing a hazardous condition. The reason for the water across the road is due to drainage problems outside the County Road Right-of-Way.

Mr. Steve Murray, Executive Director, Tippecanoe County Highway Department, stated another persistent problem is 200 South, east of the South fork of the Wildcat Creek. Mr. Murray explained no actual source of funding is available to work on obstruction of drains which do not have a maintenance fund. Mr. Murray asked the Drainage Board to consider creating a fund which would help the Surveyor's Office and the Highway Department to determine what action could be taken. Mr. Murray stated when a problem becomes severe enough the County Highway Department will clean out an obstruction that is off county road right-of-way to protect the road way, but the funds used for the clean-up are funds that could be used elsewhere.

Commissioner Jones stated Steve Wettschurack told him that FEMA was going to help out with the situation on North 9th Street.

Mr. Murray pointed out with the older residential subdivision the storm water system were allowed to outlet into privately owned ravines, there is no funding available to help with maintenance on these situations. If the storm water system becomes plugged or breaks down causing the streets to flood the County Highway Department has repaired the problem, using funds that were not intended for that type of repair.

Mr. Gerde's understanding is that in the majority of those situation the County does not have an easement, which cause a legal problem for the County.

Mr. Spencer stated in all cases where the County has worked out side the easement a complaint was filed therefore the landowners are willing to grant entry onto their land.

MARCH DRAINAGE BOARD MEETING DATE
Mr. Spencer explained the March 1997 Drainage Board meeting date needs to be changed, if possible. Mr. Gerde is going to be out of town on the scheduled meeting date of March 5, 1997.

Discussion of the next Drainage Board Meeting, after an agreed date and time, Commissioner Hudson stated the next Drainage Board meeting will be Tuesday, March 11, 1997 at 9:00 a.m.

Being no further business Commissioner Hudson moved to adjourn until Tuesday, March 11, 1997 at 9:00 a.m., seconded by Commissioner Jones. Meeting adjourned.

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
February 4, 1998
regular meeting

Those present were:
Tippecanoe County Commissioners Ruth Shedd, and John Knochel, County Surveyor Mike Spencer, Drainage Board Attorney Dave Luhman, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, February 4, 1998, in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North 3rd Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Commissioner Shedd calling the meeting to order.

The first item on the agenda was to approve the minutes from the October 15, 1997 and December 19, 1997 regular Drainage Board meetings. Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the minutes, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Minutes Approved.

MIKE MADRID COMPANY
Bob Gross, and Craig Rodarmel of R.W. Gross and Associates, presented the Board with final drainage plans of Mike Madrid Company, located west of I-65, in the northeast portion of the intersection of Swisher Road and the Rail Road. Mr. Gross explained at the south end of the site an existing 15 inch culvert under Swisher Road is the outlet. In the post-developed condition the same 15 inch pipe will be used for the outlet of the site with two sub basin. The sub basin at the north and east sides of the site will outlet into a 12 inch pipe under the driveway and then flow into the 15 inch outlet pipe under Swisher Road. The second sub basin will be at the south end of the site and outlet through a 12 inch pipe with a 4.25 inch diameter orifice on the end to restrict the flow before outletting into the 15 inch pipe under Swisher Road. Mr. Gross explained neither of the two basins will be very deep, but they will be spread over a large area.

Mr. Spencer stated he recommends final approval with the condition the applicant receives approval from the County Highway Department for use of the road right-of-way as site detention.

Commissioner Shedd asked where the emergency overflow will go and who owns the property the overflow will go on?

Mr. Gross stated Mike Madrid Company owns the property for the proposed emergency overflow.

Commissioner Knochel moved to grant final approval of the Mike Madrid Company drainage plan with the condition the applicant receives approval from the County Highway Department, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

DRAINAGE BOARD 1998 CONTRACTS
Attorney
Mr. Spencer presented the Board with a 1998 contract from Hoffman, Luhman and Busch Law Firm for their services to the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board.

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the 1998 contract with Hoffman, Luhman and Busch Law Firm, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Engineering Consultant
Mr. Luhman presented the Board with a 1998 contract from Christopher B. Burke Engineering, LTD. for engineering consultant services for the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board.

Mr. Luhman suggested continuing the 1998 contract with Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. until some language is included, which is in the agreement from January 3, 1995 contract. Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. could copy the 1995 contract and update it to include the current rates.

Commissioner Knochel moved to continue the 1998 engineering consultant contract with Christopher B. Burke until the March 4, 1998 Drainage Board Meeting, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

1998 ACTIVE AND INACTIVE DITCH LIST

Mr. Luhman read the 1998 active and inactive ditch list.

ACTIVE DITCH LIST

4. Delphine Anson 8. Julius Berlovitz 10. Michael Binder 14. Buck Creek
16. Orrin Byers 18. Train Coe 20. County Farm 26. Darby Wetherill
31. Issac Gowen 33. Rebecca Grimes 34. Fred Hafner 35. E.F. Haywood
37. Harrison Meadows 41. Eugene Johnson 42. James Kellerman 43. Floyd Kerschner
44. Amanda Kirkpatrick 45. Frank Kirkpatrick 47. John Kuhns 48. Calvin Lesley
52. Mary Mckinney 54. Samuel Marsh 55. Absalm Miller 57. F.E. Morin
58. Hester Motsinger 59. J. Kelly O'Neal 60. Audley Oshier 64. Rayman Emmett
65. Franklin Reser 67. Aurthur Rickerd 71. Skinner Ray 74. Joseph Sterrett
76. Gustav Swanson 78. Jacob Taylor 87. Wilson Nixon 89. Simeon Yeager
91. Jesse Dickens 93. Dismal Creek **94. Shawnee Creek** 101. John Hoffman
102. Sophia Brumm 103. H.W. Moore 105. Mary Thomas 106. Arbegust Young
108. High Gap Road 109. Romney Stock Farm

INACTIVE DITCH LIST

1. John Amstutz 2. Jesse Anderson 3. E.W. Andrew 5. Dempsey Baker
6. Newell Baker 7. Nellie Ball 11. John Blickenstaff 12. N.W. Box
13. A.P. Brown 15. Alfred Burkhalter 17. Floyd Coe 19. Grant Cole
21. Jesse Cripe 22. Charles Daughtery 23. Fannie Devault 25. Marion Dunkin
27. Thomas Ellis 28. Martin Erwin 29. Crist-Fassnacht 30. Elijah Fugate
32. Martin Gray 36. Thomas Haywood 39. George Inskeep 40. Lewis Jakes
46. J.N. Kirkpatrick 50. John McCoy 51. John McFarland 53. Wesley Mahin
56. Ann Montgomery 61. Parker Lane 63. Calvin Peters 66. Peter Rettereth
68. Alexander Ross 69. James Sheperdson 70. John Saltzman 72. Abe Smith
73. Mary Southworth 75. William Stewart 77. Alonzo Taylor 79. John Toohey
81. John VanNatta 82. Harrison Wallace 83. Sussana Walters 84. William Walters
85. Waples McDill 86. Lena Wilder 88. J & J Wilson 90. Franklin Yoe
92. Jenkins 95. Beutler-Gosma 96. Kirkpatrick One 100. S.W. Elliott

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the 1998 ditch assessment list, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Spencer brought to the Board's attention a public notice from the Corp. of Engineers regarding the proposed wetland constructed above a county regulated tile drainage system the **John McCoy Ditch** located south of Wea School along County Road 200 East. Mr. Spencer explained there have been some concern from the property owners in the watershed area with what the Corp. has proposed. Mr. Spencer asked the Board if the County should have an informational meeting regarding the wetland?

Commissioner Knochel moved to have an information meeting with all the effected landowner in the area of the proposed wetland, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Mr. Spencer asked if the 30 day requirement for a public notice would be in affect with this meeting only being an informational meeting?

Mr. Luhman stated no, not for an informational meeting because it is not being reconstruted, the assessment is not going to change and there is not going to be any legal affect on the landowners.

MINUTE BOOK

Mr. Luhman explained that there was a question as to whether or not a ledger size minute book was required to be used, if not, than could the minute book be changed to a letter or legal size. Mr. Luhman stated he could not find any statue where a ledger size book had to be used.

Commissioner Shedd granted approval to change the size of the minute book from ledger to letter, beginning with the 1998 Drainage Board minutes.

Being no further business, Commissioner Knochel moved to adjourn until March 4, 1998, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Meeting adjourned.

Ruth Shedd, President

Shelli Muller, Secretary

Kathleen Hudson, Vice President

John Knochel, Member

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
February 3, 1999
Regular Meeting

Those present were:
Tippecanoe County Commissioners Ruth Shedd and John Knochel, County Surveyor Mike Spencer, Drainage Board Attorney Dave Luhman, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger and Drainage Board Secretary Shelli Muller.

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, February 3, 1999, in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North 3rd Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Commissioner Shedd calling the meeting to order.

The first item on the agenda is to approve the 1999 Active and Inactive Ditch Assessment List. Mr. Luhman read the list.

ACTIVE

Delphine Anson Brown	Julius Berlowitz	Michael Binder	A.P.
Buck Creek Wetherhill	Train Coe	County Farm	Darby
Christ Fassnacht Hafner	Issac Gowen	Rebecca Grimes	Fred
E.F. Haywood Kirkpatrick	Harrison Meadows	Floyd Kerschner	Amanda
Frank Kirkpatrict McKinny	Calvin Lesley	John McFarland	Mary
Samuel Marsh	F.E. Morin	Hester Motsinger	J.Kelly O'Neal
Aduley Oshier Rickerd	Emmett Rayman	Franklin Reser	Aurthur
Joseph Sterrett Walters	Gustav Swanson	Jacob Taylor	William
Wilson Nixon Creek	Simeon Yeager	Jesse Dickens	Dismal
Kirkpatrick One Lateral	John Hoffman	Sophia Brum	HW Moore
Mary Thomas	Arbegust-Young	Jesse Anderson	

INACTIVE

John Amstutz Dempsey Baker	James Shepardson	E.W. Andrew	
Newell Baker	Nellie Ball	John Blickenstaff	NW Box
Alfred Burkhalter Cole	Orrin Byers	Floyd Coe	Grant
Jesse Cripe Dunkin	Charles Daughtery	Frannie Devault	Marion
Thomas Ellis Gray	Martin Erwin	Elijah Fugate	Martin
Thomas Haywood Johnson	George Inskeep	Lewis Jakes	Eugene
James Kellerman McCoy	James Kirkpatrick	John Kuhns	John
Wesley Mahin Lane	Absalm Miller	Ann Montgomery	Parker

Calvin Peters	Peter Rettereth	Alexander Ross	John Saltzman
Skinner Ray	Abe Smith	Mary Southworth	WilliamStewart
Alonzo Taylor	John Toohey	John VanNatta	
Harrison Wallace	Sussane Walters	McDill Waples	Lena Wilder
J&J Wilson	Franklin Yoe	Jenkins	
Shawnee Creek			
Buetler/Gosma	John McLaughlin	S.W. Elliott	Hadley Lake
High Gap Rd	Romney Stock Farm		

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the list of Active and Inactive Ditch Assessment for the year 1999, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

WATKINS GLEN SUBDIVISION, PHASE 4, PART 3
Tim Beyer of Vester and Associates, asked the Board for preliminary approval of Watkins Glen Subdivision, Phase 4, Part 3 located off County Road 400 East. The proposed subdivision consists of 9 lot on a 5 acre site. Mr. Beyer asked for a variance from the Drainage Ordinance that requires on-site detention. The majority of the proposed plan drains to an existing pipe and then to an existing detention facility for Watkins Glen South, Part V. The facility has the capacity to handle the additional runoff of Phase 4, Part 2.

Mr. Spencer recommended granting the variance for no on-site detention and preliminary approval of the drainage plan for Watkins Glen, Phase 4, Part 3.

Commissioner Knochel moved to grant preliminary approval of Watkins Glen, Phase 4, Part 3 and to grant the variance allowing no on-site detention, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

SEASONS FOUR SUBDIVISION, PHASE III
Roger Fine, of John E. Fisher and Associates, asked the Board for approval of the outlet pipe for Seasons Four Subdivision, Phase III. The City of Lafayette requires the project to receive approval from the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board because of the outlet pipe into the **Elliott Ditch.** Mr. Fine informed the Board a DNR permit is pending for work in the floodway.

Mr. Spencer recommended approval of the outlet pipe, subject to the project receiving the DNR permit.

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the outlet pipe into **the Elliott Ditch** for Seasons Four Subdivision, Phase III, subject to the approval of the DNR permit, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Being no further business, Commissioner Knochel moved to adjourn until March 3, 1999 at 10:00 a.m., seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

_____
Ruth Shedd, President

_____
Shelli Muller, Secretary

_____
Kathleen Hudson, Vice President

_____
John Knochel, Member

TIPPECANOE COUNTY DRAINAGE BOARD
February 9, 2000
Regular Meeting

Those present were:
Tippecanoe County Commissioners Kathleen Hudson, John Knochel and Ruth Shedd, County Surveyor Stephen Murray, Drainage Board Attorney Dave Luhman, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant Dave Eichelberger and Drainage Board Secretary Doris Myers.

The Tippecanoe County Drainage Board met Wednesday, February 9, 2000, in the Tippecanoe Room of the Tippecanoe County Office Building, 20 North 3rd Street, Lafayette, Indiana with Commissioner Kathleen Hudson calling the meeting to order.

The first item on the agenda is to approve the minutes from the January 12, 2000, Regular Drainage Board Meeting and minutes from the January 21, 2000, Special Drainage Board Meeting. Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the minutes of January 12, 2000, Regular Drainage Board Meeting and January 21, 2000, Special Drainage Board Meeting, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Commissioner Hudson welcomed Stephen Murray, as new County Surveyor, to his first meeting with the Drainage Board.

CROSSPOINTE APARTMENTS SUBDIVISION
Wm. R. Davis with Hawkins Environmental gave presentation for Crosspointe Apartments Subdivision. This site is located east of Creasy Lane, south of Weston Woods Subdivision and east of the **Treece Meadows Relief Drain.** The applicant proposes to construct apartments and associated parking. The stormwater management plan for this area was the subject of previous studies conducted as part of the Amelia Avenue extension over the **Treece Meadows Relief Drain**. Two issues from C.B. Burke Engineering report to be discussed. First issue is ponding of waters on project. The parking lot plans were intended to pond 7" of water. Second issue concerning previously discharge channel that has been schematic approved for the drainage of this site. Their intention is to use this channel for draining this site. If not approved as is a modification can be brought before the board.

Commissioner Hudson asked Dave Eichelberger to explain about the wet bottom ponds.

Dave Eichelberger, Drainage Board Engineering Consultant, stated the previous stormwater management plan indicated that portions of this development would drain to proposed wet-bottom ponds prior to discharging to the **Treece Meadows Relief Drain**. However, it does not appear these ponds are proposed as part of this subject development on their plans. Are these ponds already in place, are they going to be constructed as part of this project or are they going to have some interim outlet to the **Treece Meadow Relief Drain** between now and then? If are wanting final approval may need to have condition that proposed ponds are constructed or proposed outlet is approved.

Steve Murray asked Wm. R. Davis what was their intent.

Wm R. Davis commented there is another project that has risen to this area. The project is not moving very rapidly. They want to get these projects temporarily constructed as did in schematic approval of wet-bottom channel as part of this project.

Commissioner Hudson asked if these outlets would be the ones carrying water over parking lot. Answer was no.

Commissioner Hudson asked what was going to be done about the water ponding over the parking lot area.

Steve Murray stated 7" water ponding over parking lot is allowable by ordinance. This is backwater from 100-year flood as composed to conventional ponding for storage in the lot.

Steve Murray asked if there was a duration limit.

Dave Eichelberger stated none that he is aware of.

Commissioner Knochel moved to grant final approval to Crossepoint Apartments Subdivision subject to the outlets being constructed as part of this project, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

WABASH NATIONAL SITE DETENTION

Wm. R. Davis with Hawkins Environmental gave presentation for Wabash National Site Detention. This is a 340-acre site located north of C.R. 350 South, between Concord Road and U.S. 52. This is a schematic design for Wabash National and is the second time for reviewing this site. We are trying to come up with an overall plan for final development of Wabash National property. They are not placing structures, etc, but are determining the amount of improved surface they can have, what areas need to be stoned, types of drainage, etc. Currently there is a tile branch of **Elliott Ditch** traversing this property. At present a lot of water stands on this property. We are proposing how to move this water in a developed condition. Will be stoning parts of the property after constructing diversion ditches. Will be removing tile in the **Elliott Ditch** Branch and make open drain. The present detention pond is adequate for future use. Wm. R. Davis is asking for approval of schematic design for Wabash National Site Detention.

Dave Eichelberger suggests preliminary approval of the ditch network and final approval of the continued use of the existing detention pond.

Commissioner Knochel moved to grant preliminary approval of the ditch design for the Wabash National Site Detention and final approval for the drainage pond, seconded Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

WILLIAMS COMMUNICATIONS – FIBER OPTIC CABLE

Harold Elliott with Williams Communications gave presentation to install fiber optic cable communication system. This cable will stretch from Atlanta, Cincinnati, Indianapolis and through Chicago. Part of this system will go through a portion of Tippecanoe County. Have received permits for the road crossings. Had been working with Mike Spencer for permits on drainage ditches. They had sent a letter earlier, recommended by Mike Spencer, explaining what they were going to do. Mr. Elliott stated he thinks they should have a permit due to all the bonding, etc. Mr. Elliott's purpose for being here today is to go over project, find out for sure what they do want, and get bond, etc. ready for the next meeting.

Commissioner Hudson asked Mr. Elliott if he received Dave Luhman's letter.

Mr. Elliott's comment was yes. Mr. Elliott stated they have included what Mr. Luhman asked for. Mr. Elliott had a question on drawing for each ditch. Can they use what we use as a typical ditch crossing with it put to the ditch we are crossing? Instead of a complete profile of each ditch.

Dave Luhman asked if it would be similar to what is used on highways. If so, that would be adequate. Mr. Elliott commented yes. Williams Communications will furnish drainage board with a complete list of where line is as built.

Steve Murray stated he would like Mr. Elliott to give as much information possible to the contractor, so they can narrow down their area to start being aware that there may be a legal drain there.

Mr. Elliott commented there would be a crew out to survey each of the legal drains so contractor knows exactly where they start and will be. They are running a minimum of 42" below ground. Some of the survey work is being done now.

Steve Murray asked if they would trench or plow the lines.

Mr. Elliott stated the plan was to plow. When you go across ditches we know you can't plow. So we will be trenching these lines.

Steve Murray stated they would want the cable trenched not plowed. When you trench you can see turned up broken tiles. When you plow there is no visible evidence of broken tiles. May be 3 to 5 years before drain collapses and backs up. A lot of counties have gone too only allowing trenching now days as opposed to plowing.

Commissioner Knochel stated his concern was when turning up some private tiles who will repair. They want someone who is knowledgeable to do the field tile repair.

Mr. Elliott commented he had talked with Mike and would like for the drainage board to hire someone in our county to act as an inspector to find the legal drains and bill Williams Communications for that service.

Steve Murray commented his concern is finding an inspector. It doesn't matter if the drainage board hires or if Williams Communications hires. Stephen thinks it would be better if drainage board hired the inspector.

Mr. Elliott asked about a pay scale agreement. This can all be worked out when I come back for the next meeting.

Steve Murray asked what is your construction schedule.

Mr. Elliott stated this year, this spring. It depends on all the permits coming in and all the easements that are being required one way or the other.

Steve Murray felt comfortable with this if they are willing to work under the drainage board conditions.

Mr. Elliott suggested the $5,000 bond might not be large enough. There is more potential damage than $5,000.

Dave Luhman recommends $25,000.00 bond. Wait on final draft at the March 1, 2000 meeting for details.

Mr. Elliott will return for the March 1, 2000, meeting with final draft and details.

2000 ACTIVE AND INACTIVE DITCH ASSESSMENTS
Mr. Luhman read the 2000 active and inactive ditch list

ACTIVE

Jesse Anderson	Delphine Anson	Juluis Berlovitz	Michael Binder
A.P.Brown	Buck Creek	Orrin Byers	Train Coe
County Farm	Thomas Ellis	Christ Fassnacht	Issac Gowen
Rebecca Grimes	Fred Hafner	E.F. Haywood	Harrison Meadows
James Kellerman	Floyd Kerschner	Amanda Kirkpatrick	Frank Kirkpatrick
Calvin Lesley	John McFarland	Mary McKinny	Samuel Marsh
Ann Montgomery	F.E. Morin	Hester Motsinger	J.Kelly O'Neal
Aduley Oshier	Emmett Rayman	Franklin Resor	Aurthur Rickerd
Joseph C. Sterrett	Gustav Swanson	Nixon Wilson	Simeon Yeager
Jesse Dickens	Dismal Creek	**Shawnee Creek**	Kirkpatrick One
John Hoffman	Sarah Brum	HW Moore Lateral	Mary Thomas
Arbegust-Young	High Gap Road	Romney Stock Farm	Darby Wetherill Ext 2
Darby Wetherill Reconstruction			

INACTIVE

John Amstutz	E.W. Andrews	Dempsey Baker	Newell Baker
Nellie Ball	John Blickenstaff	NW Box	Alfred Burkhalter
Floyd Coe	Grant Cole	Jesse Cripe	Charles E. Daughtery
Fannie Devault	Marion Dunkin	Darby Wetherill	Martin V. Erwin
Elijah Fugate	Martin Gray	Thomas Haywood	George Inskeep
Lewis Jakes	E.Eugene Johnson	James Kirkpatrick	John A. Kuhns
John McCoy	Wesley Mahin	Absalm Miller	Lane Parker
Calvin Peters	Peter Rettereth	Alexander Ross	James Sheperdson
John Saltzman	Ray Skinner	Abe Smith	Mary Southworth
William Stewart	Alonzo Taylor	Jacob Taylor	John Toohey
John VanNatta	Harrison B. Wallace	Sussana Walters	William Walters
McDill Waples	Lena Wilder	J & J Wilson	Franklin Yoe
Jenkins	Buetler/Gosma	S.W. Elliott	Hadley Lake Drain

Commissioner Knochel moved to approve the list of Active and Inactive Assessment for the year 2000, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

OTHER BUSINESS
PETITION FOR ENCROACHMENT ON UTILITY & DRAINAGE EASEMENT LOT 63, RED OAKS SUBDIVISION

Steve Murray gave presentation of this petition for encroachment on utility & drainage easement Lot 63, Red Oaks Subdivision. The petition for encroachment reads as follows: The undersigned, John L. Maloney, who owns 609 Bur Oak Court, does hereby request permission of the Tippecanoe County Commissioners and the Tippecanoe County Drainage Board to encroach 25 feet into the utility and drainage easement at the rear side of their home on Lot 63, Red Oaks Subdivision, Wea Township, Tippecanoe County, Indiana, as shown on the diagram hereto attached and made a part of this petition. Diagram will be on file in surveyor's office. Stephen commented the real concern is the 25 feet encroachment will be too far down the bank and into the water level. This could be an obstruction if maintenance needs to be done to the bank for erosion purposes or pipe out fall. A 10-foot encroachment will bring to the top of bank. Stephen stated he would not recommend any more encroachment then to the top of the bank.

Commissioner Hudson asked if 10 foot would encroach into the utility and drainage easement.

Steve Murray commented without an actual survey tying the house to the lot lines we wouldn't know for sure. It would appear the 10-foot at the top of bank is roughly the easement line that they want to encroach into. If we do not grant requirement for encroachment they can not go any further than the top of bank.

Commissioner Hudson asked if Bill Augustin of Gunstra Builders was aware of this being on the agenda.

Steve Murray commented he had talked to Bill Augustin this week and thought he was aware of the agenda.

Commissioner Knochel asked if they wanted to build a deck and if it was already built.

Steve Murray answer was didn't believe so. Chris from surveyor's office had been out in the last month and took pictures. No deck was in the pictures.

Dave Luhman asked if they wanted to resubmit this petition for an amendment asking for a lower amount of encroachment. If the Drainage Board denies this petition they can resubmit another petition.

Commissioner Knochel moved to deny request for 25 foot encroachment on utility and drainage easement for Lot 63, Red Oaks Subdivision, Wea Township, Tippecanoe County, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

Dave Luhman gave presentation regarding request of letter from Drainage Board to Chicago Title Insurance Company. The property is located at 3815 SR 38 E known as the **Kyger Bakery**. There has already been a dry closing on the sale. There are 2 buildings that come within the 75-foot easement. The Chicago Title Insurance Company in order to issue their title insurance need letter from Drainage Board acknowledging that buildings on this property were constructed prior to the requirement of the 1965 Drainage Act and are thus legally located structures and do not constitute illegal encroachments. Have tax records from Fairfield Township Assessors Office that show these structures were built in 1948. Dave Luhman presented Commissioner Hudson with letter on Drainage Board stationery for signature stating these structures were built prior to the requirements of the 1965 Drainage Act and are thus legally located structures and do not constitute illegal encroachments. Dave Luhman has reviewed this with Mr. Bumbleburg, who represents Kyger, and has his approval.

Commissioner Knochel moved president of Drainage Board to sign this letter stating the building were built before 1965 and do not constitute illegal encroachments, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Motion carried.

Being no further business Commissioner Knochel moved to adjourn meeting, seconded by Commissioner Shedd. Meeting adjourned.

_____

Kathleen Hudson, President

_____

Doris Myers, Secretary

_____

John Knochel, Vice President

_____

Ruth Shedd, Member